

AV7- SPR 2026

Petitioner, Sara Larancuent, Supervisor of Elections, raises a complaint that Respondent, Forward FSU Political Party, violated SBS §710.6(C)(6)(a) by submitting an incomplete Final Expense Statement, consistent with Section 709(2)(f).

When an Election Violation is alleged against a party as opposed to an individual, naming the party as the respondent, it must meet one or more of the following standards:

1. **The violation is perpetrated by any person acting as an officer of a party.**
2. The violation involved the collaboration of more than one member of the same party.
3. The violation is in a series of violations that constitute a systematic attempt by a party to violate the Elections Code.
4. The violation is part of a clear pattern of violations perpetrated by individuals internal to a party that represent systemic ignorance of the Elections Code.

Since the Forward FSU Treasurer is a representative as an officer of the party, this does qualify as a party charge.

In this case, the alleged violation concerns the submission of an incomplete Final Expense Statement. Pursuant to SBS §710.6(C)(6)(a), if such an allegation is made, the recipient shall have until Tuesday following the election by 4 p.m. Eastern Time to correct any issues with the document. Failure to correct all issues by that deadline will result in the violation escalating to a Schedule 4 Violation.

If you do not agree with this decision, it is within your right to appeal to the Electoral Commission to have it reviewed up to the Supreme Court. All students will have the option to present their case directly to the Elections Commission, choose a third party to represent them, or be appointed a law student by the Supreme Court to represent their case before the Elections Commission.

This qualifies as a **Schedule 1 Violation.**

AV8- SPR 2026

Petitioner, Sara Larancuent, Supervisor of Elections, raises a complaint that Respondent, Legacy FSU Political Party, violated SBS §710.6(C)(6)(a) by submitting an incomplete Final Expense Statement, consistent with Section 709(2)(f).

When an Election Violation is alleged against a party as opposed to an individual, naming the party as the respondent, it must meet one or more of the following standards:

1. **The violation is perpetrated by any person acting as an officer of a party.**
2. The violation involved the collaboration of more than one member of the same party.
3. The violation is in a series of violations that constitute a systematic attempt by a party to violate the Elections Code.
4. The violation is part of a clear pattern of violations perpetrated by individuals internal to a party that represent systemic ignorance of the Elections Code.

Since the Legacy FSU General Counsel is a representative as an officer of the party, this does qualify as a party charge.

In this case, the alleged violation concerns the submission of an incomplete Final Expense Statement. Pursuant to SBS §710.6(C)(6)(a), if such an allegation is made, the recipient shall have until Tuesday following the election by 4 p.m. Eastern Time to correct any issues with the document. Failure to correct all issues by that deadline will result in the violation escalating to a Schedule 4 Violation.

If you do not agree with this decision, it is within your right to appeal to the Electoral Commission to have it reviewed up to the Supreme Court. All students will have the option to present their case directly to the Elections Commission, choose a third party to represent them, or be appointed a law student by the Supreme Court to represent their case before the Elections Commission.

This qualifies as a **Schedule 1 Violation.**

AV9- SPR 2026

Petitioner, Sara Larancuent, Supervisor of Elections, raises a complaint that Respondent, Pioneer FSU Political Party, violated SBS §710.6(C)(6)(a) by submitting an incomplete Final Expense Statement, consistent with Section 709(2)(f).

When an Election Violation is alleged against a party as opposed to an individual, naming the party as the respondent, it must meet one or more of the following standards:

1. **The violation is perpetrated by any person acting as an officer of a party.**
2. The violation involved the collaboration of more than one member of the same party.
3. The violation is in a series of violations that constitute a systematic attempt by a party to violate the Elections Code.
4. The violation is part of a clear pattern of violations perpetrated by individuals internal to a party that represent systemic ignorance of the Elections Code.

Since the Pioneer FSU Treasurer is a representative as an officer of the party, this does qualify as a party charge.

In this case, the alleged violation concerns the submission of an incomplete Final Expense Statement. Pursuant to SBS §710.6(C)(6)(a), if such an allegation is made, the recipient shall have until Tuesday following the election by 4 p.m. Eastern Time to correct any issues with the document. Failure to correct all issues by that deadline will result in the violation escalating to a Schedule 4 Violation.

If you do not agree with this decision, it is within your right to appeal to the Electoral Commission to have it reviewed up to the Supreme Court. All students will have the option to present their case directly to the Elections Commission, choose a third party to represent them, or be appointed a law student by the Supreme Court to represent their case before the Elections Commission.

This qualifies as a **Schedule 1 Violation.**