

Respondent: Ian Rodriguez

https://fsu.yu1.qualtrics.com/apps/single-response-reports/reports/nOvrQSi8-igVeXyB4acMoOZtK1251Y2_xN3yplI4JYEPNTWvLSHPzaAc2TA_SevI1hDlMPy1S3kgUHM9Hxw5dWk0dRdqu2QY8AoBW_RN6mnle3Hp3cCHIVdEUSb-3S-YAUMZTu3NcuPX-jbfzLYZx69pYZcXM3umnGCl-tr3w47urhCJvS_SU13lQ0CjMH3aAybT8v0UMLMbQ5h1NUNJF-IVabtZ1O9hKeNjimi0VwY-r4cWKWEGUcdaarDy30yZ3C-0XCvceaSQBRvhD0lEon3mfGxuZkgfAlb_TLT-0vqcF63SpkB7Ks6HM-498wgVTgdWWu2beemUItOJUWbMkiA

Petitioner raises a complaint that Respondent, Ian Rodriguez, violated SBS 708.1 which falls under 710.6(C)(3) by attempting to display the respondent “actively campaigning by showing pictures of him walking around campus”. I cannot determine with certainty if what he is holding constitutes campaign material, and I have not been provided with clear evidence of active campaigning in regards to this specific violation filed. I do not believe that petitioner has carried their burden to prove the violation by clear and convincing evidence SBS 710.4 (g).

Petitioner merely provided surface-level evidence of the Respondent walking with a poster based on the previous example, but this time nothing was said or done, they could have just as easily been walking. There is no basis for this violation.

Based on the information reviewed, the alleged violation is dismissed upon lack of “clear and convincing evidence” SBS §710.4(G).

If you do not agree with the decision, it is within your right to appeal to the Electoral Commission to have it reviewed up to the Supreme Court. All students will have the option to present their case directly to the elections commission, choose a third-party to represent them, or be appointed a law student by the Supreme Court to represent their case before the Elections Commission.