THE SEVENTY-FOURTH STUDENT SENATE

Calendar: October 19th, 2022 Location: Senate Chambers, Student Union Time: 7:30pm

Zoom Link:

https://fsu.zoom.us/rec/play/McUkEaeaictBcQJMk51BtW_ZhlbSISLawKKn9C0bcjaXtCDgYIFa_aEqZj_8xINqpffpQ_GI_jOo_Ve9.Qc4l0_s4lgtnMXA_?continueMode=true&_x_zm_rtaid=20s9lJf HSLuCUeSCbsp-

sQ.1666796151437.5d0d5d089ba409758362462b271fead8& x zm rhtaid=810

Call to Order:

• President Hunter calls the meeting to order at 7:30pm.

Pledge of Allegiance:

• Conducted.

Moment of Silent Reflection:

Conducted.

Land Acknowledgement:

Conducted: The Student Government of Florida State University acknowledges that it is located on land that is the ancestral and traditional territory of the Apalachee Nation, the Miccosukee Tribe of Florida, the Muscogee Creek Nation, and the Seminole Tribe of Florida. We pay respect to their Elders past and present and extend that respect to their descendants and to all Indigenous people. We recognize this land remains scarred by the histories and ongoing legacies of settler colonial violence, dispossession, and removal. In spite of all this, and with tremendous resilience, these Indigenous Nations have remained deeply connected to this territory, to their families, to their communities, and to their cultural ways of life. We recognize the ongoing relationships of care that these Indigenous Nations maintain with this land and extend our gratitude as we live and work as humble and respectful guests upon their territory. We encourage all to learn about and educate others on the contemporary work of the Indigenous Nations whose land we are on and to endeavor to support Indigenous sovereignty in all the ways that we can.

Roll Call:

 Edouard, Burton, Folwell, Mantilla, Schindler, Tucker, Anderson, Crocker, Casiple, Anand, Rivers, DuChêne, Kariher, Suarez, Bettley, Barrett, Rider, Diaz, McMahon, St. Hilaire, Vollick, Roogow, Rivera, Carter, Malone, Boisvert, Hunter, Nemeth, Hummerman, Rainey, Klostreich, Staveski, Birdsong, Dale.

Verification of Quorum:

Verified.

Corrections and Approval of the Journal 09.21.2022, 10.05.2022, 10.12.2022

- DuChêne moves to approve the Journals 09.21.2022, 10.05.2022, and 10.12.2022
 - o No objections.
 - o Journals 09.21.2022, 10.05.2022, and 10.12.2022 are approved.

<u>Student and Non-Budgeted RSO Comments:</u> https://fsu.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_b9EVvRotPr4y03r

Alexander Harmon, President, Garnet & Gold Key Leadership Honorary (GGK): I am here to notify all of you of our upcoming membership reception on Monday (10/25) at 6:30pm, at the Alumni Association. This will be a great time to meet other leaders on campus. This can also help any prospective members connect with current members. Our application to become a member are due next Friday (10/28) at 11:59pm. Thank you.

Special Introductions and Announcements:

- Election Results:
 - SOE Greenwood: I want to thank you for participating in this election. We had a turnout number of 4,216. Thank you to all candidates and political parties for being kind and respectful. I'd like to thank the other Deputy Supervisor of Elections Ashley and Andreas they were all amazing. If you have a social media presence, Ashley is amazing at our advertising and Andreas is our event guy who coordinated our tabling, debate, and events with Florida PURG. Sydney has helped us all day.
- Voting results are heard.
- Quorum re-count occurs.
 - Edouard, Folwell, Mantilla, Schindler, Tucker, Anderson, Crocker, Hockett,
 Casiple, Anand, DuChêne, Kariher, Suarez, Barrett, Soares, Rider, Wyatt, Diaz,
 McMahon, Vollick, Roogow, Rivera, Carter, Malone, Boisvert, Hunter, Nemeth,
 Gummerman, Rainey, Klostreich, Staveski, Birdsong, Dale.
 - Verified.
- Anderson moves to unlock the Calendar and move into Resolution 65, Edouard seconds.
 - No objections
 - o The Calendar moves into Resolution 65.
- Senate moves into Resolution 65.

Messages from the Executive Branch: None.

Messages from Agency and Bureau Directors, SGA Organizations Officers and Employees:

• Director, IRHC: Hello everyone, I'd like to thank you all for letting me speak. I would like to preface that we as an organization are every grateful for the opportunity to speak with you all today. The Senate Projects proposition has been offered by a number of Senators. I would also like to thank the Hall Council members who came tonight and reiterate our organization's mission – advocate for the 6,700 on-campus residents we serve. As a Bureau of SGA, IRHC received zero funding from our Sweepings request. We would like to make it clear that we are very passionate about our organization and our access to Sweepings funds to provide for residents. We were made aware of receiving zero funds that the discussion period for our request was brief, and we understand the fatigue of these hearings. Due to no fault to the committee members, I feel we were not given ample consideration. *RECORDING INAUDIBLE* (00:06:32) there

is no legislation that states an organization needs to be confirmed to receive Sweepings fundings and were not aware that this would restrict our access to funding. Furthermore, during our Budget presentation, we expressed to the committee that we were in the process of getting confirmed as the Associate Director Assistant Director of Finance, and myself submitted our applications prior to the presentation. It is important to note that in years past, SGA reached out to our organization to be confirmed and this year they did not. Our Advisor imitated this process, and our applications were submitted as soon as our positions were posted on the SGA website (September 9th). Given we were not provided the application to apply for confirmation till September, I urge you to ask what more could we have done. As a Bureau of SGA, this decision was shocking. We endorse SGA for our mission to connect all students living on campus with leadership development opportunities, community involvement, and bureaucracy. I'd also like to note our involvement in the Garnet & Gold Voters Commission where we are working with SGA to enhance voter education on campus. When we attended the judiciary hearing last night a Senator made a comment that the relationship between our two organizations is a one-way street as SGA is funding us. IRHC views our relationship as positive, impactful, and collaborative. We are urging you to reconsider the Sweepings budget given the inaccurate allocation to our organization who impacts 6,700 students on campus. Thank you.

Messages from the Executive Cabinet:

Quentin England, Chief of Staff: As outlined in Student Body Statutes 301.5(A), the Student Body President may organize **RECORDING INAUDIBLE** (00:09:34) specifies that is a new position is created, Student Senate must be informed of its creation in writing. In accordance with these Statutes, I announce the following changes: Khamare Garner's position of 'Press Secretary' shall henceforth be known as the 'Director of Communications' where the duties of this position remain unchanged, Michael Delcin's position of 'Director of Communications' shall henceforth be known as 'Deputy Director of Communications' where the duties of this position remain unchanged. A new Press Secretary position will be established in the Executive Office of the President where this person shall be responsible for writing publications made by the Executive Branch and assisting in the drafting of Presidential speeches and statements and may assist in social media under the guidance of the 'Director of Communications,' and a new 'Executive Photographer' position shall be established within the Executive Office of the President to photograph events and assist in other special projects such as headshots, video projection, etc. These positions will both require Student Senate confirmation and will be posted to the SGA website.

Messages from the Class Councils: None.

Messages from the Congress of Graduate Students: None.

Messages from the Judicial Branch: None.

Messages from the Union Board and Student Engagement Ambassadors: None.

Messages from the Campus Recreation Board: None.

Report of the SGA Accounting Office: Remaining Balances: Senate Projects \$24,480, PAC \$72,412, RTAC 38,741.32, and ORG Fund \$0

Report of Senate Liaisons:

- Tucker: Liaison for the College of Criminology Student Life Council. We have an
 internship fair featuring law enforcement and state government along with local offices
 from 12pm-4pm in the Dunlap Student Success Center along with a school supplies
 drive.
- Kariher: Liaison for Pride Student Union (PSU). Application are due Friday (10/21) at 11:59pm and we are having a bowling event coming up posted on the Instagram.
- Rivers: Liaison for College of Fine Arts Student Life Council. Rider and Malone were on the funding board for the SLC's and were able to get that funding for Resolution 59 on the docket tonight. I'd love to be able to get to it tonight.

Report of Committees:

- Judiciary
 - o Passed Bills 77, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84 and failed Bills 79 and 80.
- Internal Affairs
 - Met Monday (10/17) at 5pm. We invited some other individuals and spoke to Director Russell about changing the wording in applications and passed Bill 81. **RECORDING INAUDIBLE** (00:15:12).
- Budget
 - Budget week starts tomorrow (10/20) at 8:30pm. We will hearing people from tomorrow (10/20) until Tuesday (10/25) and will have deliberations on Wednesday, October 26th. We also met last Thursday (10/13) at 4:30pm.
- Finance
 - Met Friday (10/14) at 6:30pm. Passed Consent Resolutions 29 and 30.
- Student Life
 - Met Friday (10/14). Passed Bills 81, 82 and passed Resolutions 65 and 67.
- Rules
 - Met tonight (10/19) at 5:30pm and passed Bill 84 amended and Resolution 64 amended. Senator Fermon was forwarded for impeachment due to his absences.
- Sweepings
 - Met for a lot of hours last week. Deliberations were for eight hours last Friday (10/14) from 4pm.
- Folwell moves to unlock the Calendar and move into Bill 77, Rivers seconds.
 - No objections.
 - The Calendar is unlocked to move into Bill 77.
- Senate moves into Bill 77.

Senate Confirmations: None.

Consent Calendar:

CR 29 Sponsored by Senator Rider (P)

To fund travel for the Society of Hispanic Professional Engineers and International Association of Emergency Managers - USA Student Chapter at FSU.

Opening Statement on the SHPE line-item:

We amended this and praise the RSO leaders here today. SHPE are the leading Hispanics in STEM and enhance the potential of Hispanics and under-served minorities in STEM. They do educational opportunities, professional and personal growth, and are a vital Hispanic organization. They are asking to attend a conference in Charlotte, South Carolina form November 2nd to 6th and will have more than 300 exhibitors. Nine students going will hopefully come back and educate the student body on further opportunities. All students were made aware and publicly advertised. They came to RTAC with two cost breakdowns, a fourteen-student and a nine-student breakdown. We started with \$4,347 for plane tickets and we found for nine people going it would be more fiscally responsible with a lower number. \$10,188 was too much for RSO's. We moved It down to \$3,000 for plane tickets, for \$409 per nine members. For lodging \$1,449 for three rooms for three nights. The registration fee was brought down for doing this in bulk for a discount. I don't see how we can cut things more and they are partnering with FAMU with this.

General Questioning on the SHPE line-item:

- Wyatt to the Sponsor: You were able to cut costs by cutting the amount of travelers?
 - Initially yes.
- o Wyatt to the Sponsor: Are they now able to cover the rest?
 - That would be out-of-pocket with potential fundraising.
- Casiple: Have they fundraised?
 - I'm not remembering
- Mantilla moves to let a non-Senator, Representative of SHPE to speak, Malone seconds.
 - No objections.
 - The non-Senator, Representative of SHPE can now speak when called upon.
- o Casiple to the Representative of SHPE: What fundraising have you done?
 - We don't require members to pay membership fees so all we pay is to the national organization, so our fundraising is reaching out to companies.
- Edouard to the Sponsor: You mentioned that this in collaboration with FAMU are any of the nine travelers from FAMU?
 - No none of the nine selected here are from FAMU, we cannot fund FAMU students.
- Casiple moves to enter 'Pro/Con Debate,' Crocker seconds.
 - No objections.
 - Senate enters 'Pro/Con Debate' on the SHPE line-item.

First Round of Pro on the SHPE line-item:

- Hockett: Professional development is what we're all about and this is a great opportunity for that alongside networking and to bring education of new opportunities back to FSU.
- Casiple: I was able to attend the National Convention for Asian-American Engineers. These career fairs are so integral, so this trip can literally change lives. We've had seven people get internship opportunities. I'd love to see this organization fully funded.

- Edouard: My boyfriend is in the College of Engineering and these conventions are really important to get different opportunities to meet big name companies.
- Mantilla: The representative was super organized.
- First Round of Con on the SHPE line-item:
 - None.
 - o Boisvert moves to call question on the line-item, Edouard
 - No objections.
 - The question is called on the SHPE line-item.
- Closing Statement on the SHPE line-item:
 - Thank you for your time.
- Voting Results on the SHPE line-item:
 - 25 yes, 0 no, 0 abstentions.
 - o The SHPE line-item passes.
- Opening Statement on the IAEM line-item:
 - The International Association of Emergency Managers (IAEM) is dedicated to promoting goals of saving lives and protecting property during disasters. The chapter strives to promote community awareness. Opportunities include volunteer and internship experiences and assisting FSU Emergency Management as a disaster-resistant campus community. This allows their members to learn further. This is a vital field, especially in our region. They will be going to Savannah, Georgia and driving. The registration is a bigger number because this event is huge, \$400 per person and they expect to take five people. We asked about the application process, and they've had the application out already. They only had an interest survey in RTAC. Laurell in Accounting has given permission to talk about how this is appropriate for five people. They will be taking one van and gas through AVIS - \$1,000. We're going to research roads that are less expensive, which is something I want to prioritize in RTAC. The reason 'lodging' came to \$920 is because that would be \$150 for four nights, for two rooms. The minivan would be \$34.75 daily for five days. This is a very important conference but cut in registration and we believe for five people would be more responsible to pay more out-of-pocket.
- General Questioning on the IAEM line-item:
 - Casiple: RECORDING INAUDIBLE (02:16:02).
 - They went through AVIS and are bringing an Advisor who is of age.
 They've already got approved RTAC is just reimbursement.
 - o Edouard: You mentioned the registration was expensive?
 - It's \$400 per person and they expect to take five people.
- First Round of Pro on the IAEM line-item:
 - Hockett: Professional development is important and it's crucial to develop people for future positions via these experiences.
- First Round of Con on the IAEM line-item:
 - o None.
 - Edouard moves to call the question on the IAEM line-item, McMahon seconds.

- No objections.
- The question is called on the IAEM line-item.
- Closing Statement on the IAEM line-item:
 - Thank you all. I think this Consent Resolution is fiscally responsible and help students learn a lot to bring back to FSU.
- Voting Results on the IAEM line-item:
 - o 29 yes, 0 no, 0 abstentions.
 - The IAEM line-item passes.
- Casiple moves to approve Consent Resolution 29.
 - No objections.
 - Consent Resolution 29 is approved.
- CR 30 Sponsored by Senator Wyatt and Senator St. Hilaire(P)
 To fund the Surf Club at Florida State University and Dental Brigades.
 - Folwell moves to approve Consent Resolution 30.
 - No objections.
 - o Consent Resolution 30 is approved.

Bills First Reading:

Bille I frot i teading.	
Bill 80	Sponsored by Senator Diaz (P) Folwell, Kariher, Suarez (Co) Removing language in the Finance Code insinuating that Central Reserves are meant to be able to fund items in the Food category. (Referred to Finance and Judiciary 10.12. Passed in Finance 10.14. Tabled in Judiciary 10.18.)
Bill 85	Sponsored by Senator Folwell and Senator Tucker (P) Anderson, Barrett, Diaz, Hockett, McMahon, Rider, Rivera, Schindler, St. Hilaire (Co) This bill creates the Freshman Class Council.
Bill 86	Sponsored by Senator Anand and Senator Suarez (P) Boisvert, Carter, Diaz, Folwell, Hunter, Rider, St. Hilaire (Co) This bill is a donation of \$2,500 to the National Pan-Hellenic Council (NPHC) Park as a gift from the Student Senate, after having this project's attention brought to Senate through FSU's Student Foundation.
Bill 87	Sponsored by Senator Kariher (P) Revising Statutes related to the A&S Reserve Account to reflect FSU Regulations.
Bill 88	Sponsored by Senator Bettley(P) Long, Burton, Dale, Edouard,

Committee Allocation for the fiscal year of 2023-24.

Himatsingani, Kaneshige, Malone, Mantilla, Staveski, Suarez (Co) Pursuant to SBS § 416.3(B) this bill represents the estimated amount in the budget to be submitted to the Student Senate President for Budget

Bills Second Reading:

Bill 69

Sponsored by Senator Rivers (P)

To make it so, the incoming Senior Class is the voting body for the incoming Senior Class Council. (Referred to Student Life and Judiciary. Passed in Student Life 07.13. Passed in Judiciary 07.14. Tabled on the Floor 07.20, 08.31, 09.02. 09.14, 09.21,10.05,10.12.)

Tabled as not present

Bill 76

Sponsored by Senator Bettley and Senator Rider (P)
To amend the statute regarding the amount of money that shall be funded to an organization in the category of lodging. (Referred to Student Life and Judiciary 10.05. Passed in Student Life 10.07. Passed in Judiciary 10.11. Tabled on floor 10.12.)

Tabled as not present

Bill 77

Sponsored by Senator Folwell (P) Anderson, Bettley, Carter, Diaz, Fermin, Long, Rivers, Rock, St. Hilaire (Co)

This bill shall represent the budgetary allocations for Sweepings during the 2022-2023 fiscal year. Pursuant to Statute 412.1D, the first reading of this bill shall consist of the estimated amount in the budget to be allocated by Sweepings for the 2022-2023 fiscal year. (Referred to Budget and Judiciary 10.05. Passed in Budget 10.06. Passed in Judiciary 10.18)

Opening Statement:

Good evening everyone, I'm glad to see you have all joined us for the discussion of this bill - I do know that's why you're all here. This Bill is the annual Sweepings allocation. We received 54 requests, with over \$1.15 million requested. Suffice it to say, for a budget of just over \$580,000, this was a daunting task, But, after many hours of hearings and deliberations, we have come to an overall conclusion that can be seen in the updated bill on Canvas and on the homepage of the website, sga.fsu.edu. During this time, I have committed myself to neutrality, and I will continue to do so during these discussions. As you may be aware, either through talking to Senators, or from the representatives of IRHC from earlier, the Inter-Residence Hall Council was upset with the decision to fund them \$0. I know that there is a potential amendment that has been worked on to potentially remedy this, and I encourage discussion on this matter. I, of course, have my own opinions on the subject, but I will continue my neutrality through this. I believe that this Bill should absolutely pass tonight (10/19), and in light of my position throughout the Sweepings process, I will adhere to whatever decision the Senate comes to, with a light reminder that any amendments require a 2/3 vote of the Senate in order to pass.

General Questioning:

- Diaz moves to amend the IRHC line-item of 'Expense' to '\$4,185,' Boisvert seconds.
 - Bettley objects.
 - Diaz does not withdraw.

Opening Statement on the Amendment:

Sweepings cut IRHC as they are not senate confirmed. The IRHC has not been confirmed since the 74th Student Senate In order for funds to be spent, they must be approved by the Director and Financial Officer of the Bureau. In our eyes, the individuals that presented at Sweepings were not the legitimate representatives. We found IRHC in violation of Student Body Statutes. Deliberations were long and we wanted to keep moving forward. After further consideration I came under the impression that we can treat our Bureaus with more leniency and can add proviso language that they cannot spend funds until Senate confirmed. Some of this will pay for Canva Pro subscription, a merchandise request, etc. *RECORDING INAUDIBLE* (00:25:34). They also requested \$7,000 for one event for food which I think is fiscally irresponsible, thus \$4,185 is a good amount. We gave the possibility of Senate Projects, however they rejected thar and wanted to continue with Sweepings.

• General Questioning on the Amendment:

- Tucker to the Sponsor: With the organizations you plan on cutting, have you spoken with them and are they acceptable of this?
 - Any increase in any entity is going to result in a cut somewhere causing someone else to be upset. In my proposal I cut \$1,000 to the Food Pantry after we gave them twice what they requested already more than what they asked, I cut \$1,000 to the Pride Student Union (PSU) as we're giving them \$12,000 to go to a conference in San Francisco, \$1,185 from RTAC and \$1,000 from *RECORDING INAUDIBLE* (00:28:04). Somebody is going to be upset no matter what and I think this will be the most painless.
- DuChêne to the Sponsor: Have you spoken with any Advisors or faculty members in SGA or otherwise who this would be relevant to consult – on their opinion on amending this Bill and the reasoning behind the IRHC not being Senate confirmed?
 - If we want our Advisor to speak we can ask her about the feasibility of giving money to non-confirmed Senate entities. Deliberations happened Friday (10/14) so this is short notice.
- DuChêne to the Sponsor: Do you have any sense of the excuses for IRHC not being Senate confirmed?
 - I would recommend you ask them but I was told they didn't know they had to be Senate confirmed and it wasn't until September that our Advisor (Jacalyn) reached out to them.
- Folwell moves to allow non-Senator, IRHC Treasurer, Jenna Cruz to speak, DuChêne seconds.
 - No objections.
 - Non-Senator, IRHC Treasurer, Jenna Cruz can now speak when called upon.
- o Kariher to IRHC Treasurer: When did you start the process for your application?
 - Within the bylaws, our elections occur in April. In years past our <u>RECORDING INAUDIBLE</u> (00:31:38). The application was not opened on the SGA website until the 9th of September and we submitted our applications before the Sweepings hearing. We value the SGA processes, but the applications weren't open on the website.
- President Hunter to IRHC Treasurer: What did you mean by the application was not open?

- We have emails stating that our positions <u>RECORDING INAUDIBLE</u> (00:32:28). The positions weren't posted on the website as vacant.
- Rider to the Sponsor: Is this entity allowed to fundraise?
 - They are SGA-funded so I don't believe they can explicitly fundraise but I know they can get funding from their individual Hall Councils, but that's not technically part of IRHC – which is SGA budgeted.
- DuChêne to IRHC Treasurer: You said the applications were open on the 9th of September and deliberations for Sweepings occurred the 14th of October. That five-week window is five weeks, so I'm wondering why the applications were not submitted until just before the Sweepings presentation.
 - The application is in reference to our leadership styles and how we wish to represent ourselves, which takes a moment to consider along with letters of recommendation. We turned it around as quickly as possible.
- Carter to IRHC Treasurer: How much did you receive from the last fiscal year to this fiscal year?
 - We receive funding from laundry income exactly \$12,000.
- o Bettley to IRHC Treasurer: What dates did you submit your applications?
 - Myself October 6th and the others on October 11th.
- Bettley to IRHC Treasurer: You were aware you were in your positions in April?
 - Yes, our elections happen in April.
- Edouard to IRHC Treasurer: If you're not able to be funded through Sweepings would you be able to be funded through Senate Projects?
 - We are open to that process <u>RECORDING INAUDIBLE</u> (00:37:56).
- DuChêne moves to enter 'Pro/Con Debate,' Boisvert seconds.
 - Rider objects.
 - DuChêne withdraws.
- Rider to the Sponsor: Can we fund them if they haven't been confirmed?
 - I don't have an answer to that. We interpreted that because they're not confirmed, they're not the legal representatives of IRHC. Therefore, they could not legally expend their funds. However, in Judiciary they said they have access to Nole Central.
- Rider to the Sponsor: With this amendment are you wanting to break statutes by funding them or what is your process of getting them confirmed?
 - I don't know if this is legal to be honest we are under the impression it could be. Money can be transferred to the IRHC account, it's about whether or not they can spend it. If they are Senate confirmed, they could spend it.
- Point of Clarification: President Hunter: You can fund an entity without Officers. The Officers we are hearing today (10/19) are not confirmed not holding these positions per Statutes. If you're not confirmed you're not an acting Officer. According to Student Body Statutes, the individuals we heard are not in the positions they claim as they have not been Senate confirmed. You can fund an organization without officers; however, the organization cannot expend funds without officers.
 - Point of Clarification: Suarez: We did this last year for the <u>RECORDING</u> <u>INAUDIBLE</u> (00:41:41) as they had no leadership but were funded.
- Tucker to IRHC Treasurer: Do you have an estimated timeline on being confirmed?
 - Honestly, our applications are in.
- o Tucker to IRHC Treasurer: Have you had a repones from the Chief of Staff?
 - No.

- Schindler to the Sponsor: If there are other Bureaus and Agencies why would we not reserve funding for those organizations?
 - We have the ability to fund them if we want to.
- o DuChêne moves to enter 'Pro/Con Debate,' Edourard seconds.
 - No objections.
 - Senate moves into 'Pro/Con Debate' on the Amendment.
- o First Round of Pro on the Amendment:
 - Tucker: As a former Senator clarified, we've been in a similar position but after speaking with them I understand this is something they will work for. I think we should fund them. I think the funds we give them will be more than enough to allow them to do the majority of things they need to get done.
 - Point of Information: Casiple to Bettley: Is IRHC a budgeted organization?
 Yes.

First Round of Con on the Amendment:

- Rivers: Coming from a standpoint of someone who sat in Sweepings deliberations and the Judiciary committee. I am against funding them in this Sweepings Bill. We have had other organizations come who we zerofunded because they did not follow Statutes. It is our job to enforce those Statutes. We did not fund Sports Club or the 1910 Honorary Society. We should not be funding these RSO's because they are not following some sort of legal statutory rule. IRHC was deemed they did not follow a similar statutory rule, therefore we zero-funded them. We reached out to them and offered to give them money through Senate Projects which would be much faster. This Sweepings funding will not be allocated until sometime in the Spring semester, December at earliest. I do not feel comfortable cutting from these other organizations who followed the rules and did what they're supposed to do.
- Kariher: I had a conversation with our Advisor (Jacalyn) today, who told me the background. She gave them ample time to fill out their applications and made it seem optional. I hope she shares her point of view, as after hearing her point of view I feel uncomfortable setting this precedence.
- Schindler: We need to follow statues.
- DuChêne: Any money we give them will cut from other organizations like the Food Pantry and Pride.

Second Round of Pro on the Amendment:

Tucker: Originally, I believed we must have remained <u>RECORDING</u> <u>INAUDIBLE</u> (00:49:32). Our analysis in Judiciary is amendable. Our role in SGA is to be collaborative. We found a way to fund IRHC and I think they would be acceptable and agreeable with this process. I think we should reach out our hand as they continue to try to work with us.

Second Round of Con on the Amendment:

Bettley: As a member of the Sweepings committee, I am not confrontable funding them, however we offered \$3,000 and gave them other avenues for funding. They still want the money through Sweepings. I think its disrespectful to the eight hours we spent in that room for Sweepings deliberations and we treated them with the same level of respect as other organizations. The reason they were zero-funded is because they did not follow Statue, not because we were tired – they were elected in April and had the entire summer to figure out the processes. The applications were open September 9th and they did not submit their application until five days before their Sweepings hearing. They have been funded by SGA since 2015 and have Advisors that deal with SGA processes. To say it is a fault of ours is simply not true. They had an entire month to submit their applications on the website.

- St. Hilaire: We spent countless hours and the precedent we wanted to follow is that if statutes were broken, you wouldn't be funded. I don't think it's fair to overlook statues for this organization. I can assure you that when we made this decision we sat down and made the time. We wanted to make sure everyone was fair and that Senate Projects is an option.
- Carter: We were diligent throughout almost thirty-five hours of hearings and deliberations and I think it's about consistency, given there are other organizations.

Third Round of Pro on the Amendment:

- None.
- Schindler moves to call the question on the Amendment, McMahon seconds.
 - Suarez objects.
 - Schindler withdraws.
- Point of Information: Tucker to Folwell: Can you provide any legislation that explicitly says Executive Board members not approved cannot receive funding?
 - I am not aware of any, but that does not mean they're not there.

o Third Round of Con on the Amendment:

- Suarez: I understand the importance of IRHC but would feel uncomfortable given the other opportunities they've had. They are a budgeted organization to be funded in other ways and the defunding of other organizations who follow these processes would be a disservice. I know other Senators and myself would be happy to fund IRHC after Sweepings.
- Bettley: Last year IRHC was budgeted \$3,550. This Amendment would almost double their budget for the year. There are other organizations that followed the rules and they should not be punished.
- Tucker moves to call the question, Schindler seconds.
 - No objections.
 - The question is called on the Amendment.

Closing Statement on the Amendment:

I was on the Sweepings committee and I try to represent our Agencies and Bureaus and it is legal to fund them, but they can't expend those funds until they are Senate confirmed. I wanted to give you the option to fund them or not, we offered Senate Projects funding in the past and I'm willing to do that as well to give them more money.

- Voting Results on the Amendment:
 - 5 yes, 24 no, 2 abstentions.
 - Amendment fails.
- General Questioning:
 - Kariher moves to enter 'Pro/Con Debate,' Rivers seconds.
 - Schindler objects.
 - Kariher withdraws.
 - Schindler moves to amend the Jewish Student Union (JSU) line-item to add '\$2,000' in the food category, Malone seconds.
 - Edourard objects.
 - Schindler does not withdraw.
- Opening Statement on the Amendment:
 - Passover is coming up and is one of the biggest holidays of the year. In past years, JSU has been cut of funding multiple times, ours was cut in half. Our banquet last year spent over \$10,000. Other organizations have been funded ample amount and JSU is asking to be able to be funded enough. Everyone on JSU follows statutory requirements and cares about this event. At the Passover event JSU cater food form different entities around Tallahassee to create a great event for the student body.

RECORDING INAUDIBLE (01:03:17).

- General Questioning on the Amendment:
 - Tucker to Folwell: Can you provide reasoning why they weren't fully funded?
 - We had a \$580,000 budget and we got about double that in requests. We had to make necessary cuts. We have to the dollar mount the maximum we can spend overall. For any cut it's because we had to.
 - Point of Clarification: St. Hilaire: There has been discussions of organizations receiving more funding than they requested. We overfunded the food pantry as they don't receive any funding from the school at all, which is crucial to our student body.
 - Casiple to Folwell: Is there a maximum limit in funding that can be allocated to the food category?
 - The maximum amount that can be allocated is 15% of the budget, so \$87,066.40. This would bring the food category up to \$70,506 so we have \$17,000 of freedom.
 - Boisvert to the Sponsor: Can you clarify your role in JSU?
 - I am the Director of Outreach.
 - DuChêne to the Sponsor: Who so you propose cutting to receive this?
 - I intend to amend the 'food' categories of the NAACP, Rise, and the Career Center.
 - DuChêne to the Sponsor: Who did you consult outside the JSU to make amendments to this Sweepings Bill?
 - I've spoken with other Senators but no SGA Advisors or members of the Sweepings Committee.
 - Mantilla to the Sponsor: Do you have the specific numbers to be cut from the other organizations you mentioned.

- \$500 from NAACP's 'food' category, \$1,000 from the Career Center's 'food' category, and \$500 from RISE.
- <u>Point of Clarification:</u> Folwell: You cannot cut funding from the Career Center's 'food' category as no money was allocated.
- Point of Clarification: St. Hilaire: We already cut these organizations in multiple manners. NAACP was already cut in its 'food' category and its nowhere near \$7,000 even after expressing the impact of their event on communities, so I think it's important to keep that funding.
- DuChêne moves to enter 'Pro/Con Debate' on the Amendment,
 Tucker seconds.
 - No objections.
 - Senate moves into 'Pro/Con Debate' on the Amendment.

First Round of Pro on the Amendment:

- Rider: Bringing awareness to this, (I am on the JSU Executive Board) I
 think the Senators trying to bring awareness to this but I think it is good
 to bring awareness that it was cut in the past and a lot here today along
 with other organizations. I think we should deliberate this more.
- Point of Clarification: Bettley: Last year in the allocation, JSU was the third most funded agency, meaning four other agencies were below that – less than \$30,000. They already have a substantial budget.
- Point of Clarification: Rivers: When it came to Sweepings, we cut the Agencies except HLSU, but we did cut a lot of the agencies such as WSU however we added the money supposed to be added to the 1910 Honorary Society to the WSU's budget with proviso language that such money must be spent on the 1910 Honorary Society.
- Point of Clarification: Bettley: JSU was funded \$20,395 in the last fiscal year.

o First Round of Con on the Amendment:

- Bettley: As the Sponsor did not consult the Sweepings Committee members to see how we could move funds around; I think it's disrespectful to the time and effort we put in last week. There is no concrete plan for where that other funding is going to be pulled from.
- Edouard: I understand funding is needed for JSU; however, I think it's important not to cut funding from other organizations – especially as organizations such as the NAACP have already been cut.
- Rivers: When we were in Sweepings had to consider the smaller RSO's and those who need this money. When we looked at the Agencies, we decided to pull from them as a lot of the organizations requesting funding were connected to that Agency.
- DuChêne moves to call, Mantilla seconds.
 - No objections.
 - The question is called on the Amendment.

Closing Statement on the Amendment:

 I feel that the Sweepings Committee disrespected JSU. RISE was funded over \$40,000. <u>RECORDING INAUDIBLE</u> (01:16:24) many of these other organizations also have ample budgets and I believe JSU should not be ripped of this opportunity – especially as FSU has a substantial Jewish community.

Voting Results on the Amendment:

- 6 yes, 22 no, 4 abstentions.
- Amendment fails.
- President Hunter: If you are on the Sweepings Committee you know these people are appointed from every standing Committee and met for a week and a half, had their events postponed due to the Hurricane, moved it back and continued to meet. The integrity of what the Sweepings Committee stands for, this is one of the most unbiased Committees the Senate has with people from each Committee and people who wanted to be there along others who didn't. They're all there and dedicated their time to fund organizations. I'm not here to discourage Amendments, but I'm here to say this Committee worked tirelessly to bring this Bill to the Floor today. It is my expectation that we treat this Committee with the respect of any other Committee in the Student Senate. It is never the intent of anyone on the sweepings Committee to not fund an organization \$2,000 or two pennies – to not allow organizations to have funding. The Student Senate does not exist to bar organizations from receiving funding, travelling, or conduct programming – if everyone is here for that reason they can leave. People have to make tough decisions and there's note enough money to give every person every request they want. The decisions of this Committee are amendable, but it is not up to us to say the non-funding fully of an organization is the mal intent of a Committee. That is not something I will stand for.

First Round of Pro:

- DuChêne: I'd like to thank the Sweepings Committee for the eight hours they spent deliberating on this. Next year's Sweepings Committee will have millions more funding due to Central Reserves.
- <u>Point of Personal Privilege:</u> Schindler: I wouldn't call any Senator's amendments disrespectful.
- St. Hilaire: We spent thirty-five hours doing Sweepings we are all students, which is also during mid-terms. We worked incredibly hard and nobody enjoyed cutting organizations. I had to cur organizations I'm a part of and had to make sacrifices. We worked so hard our Chair and Vice Chair worked tirelessly. I think we should move forward with this.
- Rivers moves to amend, under #5 'Agencies' to add "Section D." to read "Moneys allocated to the AASU shall not be used to purchase office supplies," Bettley seconds.
 - No objections.
 - Author finds it friendly.
 - Voting Results:
 - 26 yes, 3 no, 3 abstentions.
 - Amendment passes.
- Rivers: As someone who sat in Sweepings, my heart goes out to my fellow Sweepings members. As a Committee, we felt the money for these organizations should be used for more impactful things. This is amazing and I feel like I've trauma bonded with my Committee members.
- Diaz: Pass the Bill.

- Point of Information: Tucker to Rivers: Why was this amendment only made to AASU?
 - They're request was weird, when it came to deliberations, they showed a spreadsheet of different things they needed funding for – a lot of which was office supplies.
 - Casiple moves to call the question on Bill 77, Anderson seconds.
 - No objections.
 - The question is called on Bill 77.

Closing Statement:

- Rivers: As someone who sat in Sweepings, my heart goes out to my
- Thank you all, it has been a painful pleasure and thank you to the Committee. Thank you, Vice Chair Carter, for the minutes. For any future prospective Sweepings Chairs, it's a lot. It took thirty hours of hearings for \$580,000 and 54 organizations. This was a humbling experience and one I hope everyone can experience a taste of.

Voting Results on Bill 77:

- 34 yes, 1 no, 0 abstentions.
- Bill 77 passes.
- DuChêne moves to unlock the Calendar to move to 'Special Introductions,'
 Edouard seconds.
 - No objections.
 - The Calendar is unlocked to 'Special Introductions.'
- Senate moves into 'Special Introductions.'

Bill 81

Sponsored Senator Diaz (P) Anand, Crocker, Kariher, McMahon, Rivers, Roogow, Schindler, Vollick (Co)

Formally granting the Student Body President and Agency and Bureau leadership the right to forward candidates for different positions from those that candidates applied for. (Referred to Student Life, Internal Affairs, and Judiciary 10.12. Passed in Student Life 10.14. Passed in Internal Affairs 10.17. Passed in Judiciary 10.18.)

Opening Statement:

This gives the Executive Branch the ability to forward candidates different from the positions they applied for. For example, one Senate candidate put the College of Arts and Sciences and should have applied for the College of Undergraduate Studies. The Executive Branch knew that and changed the forwarding accordingly. This gives the Executive Branch the official duty of this implied power. Agencies can also change positions someone is being forwarded before being sent to the Student Body Senate. This requires the consent of the applicant and Agency's consent if the President wishes to override the Agency's decision. Subsection 3 says the Chief of Staff must include a notice whenever someone is being forwarded for a position they

didn't apply for. This doesn't give the Student Body President any new powers, rather codified their current practice.

General Questioning:

- Hockett: This Bill doesn't give power to change the Senate Seat?
 - The exec branch has already exercised that power.
- Hockett: If someone is not in their major, they could run for a position in their future major's College?
 - That's something SGA Advising would look at.
- o Folwell: Is there anywhere this needs to be recorded?
 - In consent, I'm sure would be verbal or an email which the Chief of Staff assured was common practice. The applicant is consulted about that – this is all current practice.

First Round of Pro:

- Kariher: This is necessary and is already being done so Statutes will help ensure this process.
- Tucker: This is a statutory change that came to Judiciary we hardly debated on this because it should've already been official.
- Folwell: This summer there was an incident where candidates applied for incorrect positions. Statutes don't say anything but having this be allowed to happen is much needed.

First Round of Con:

- None.
- Folwell moves to pass Bill 81 by unanimous consent.
 - No objections.
 - Bill 81 passes.

Bill 82

Sponsored by Senator Folwell (P) Suarez (Co)

This bill makes it so that instead of being slated and voted on as a group, Campus Recreation and Union Board candidates are given individual seats that will be voted upon separately. (Referred to Student Life and Judiciary 10.12. Passed in Student Life 10.14. Passed in Judiciary 10.18.)

Tabled on the Floor.

Bill 83

Sponsored by Senator Folwell (P) Suarez, Tucker (Co)
This bill clarifies that the times FSU SGA elections are open shall be during Eastern Time. (Referred to Judiciary 10.12. Passed in Judiciary 10.18.)

Tabled on the Floor.

Bill 84

Sponsored by Senator Diaz (P) Hunter (Co)
Granting the Senate President the right to call special Senate sessions without the need for a petition. (Referred to Judiciary and Rules & Committee 10.12. Passed in Judiciary 10.18. Passed and Amended in Rules & Committee 10.19.)

Opening Statement:

This was amended twice, but essentially the whole premise of this Bill was to allow the Senate President to call special sessions at their discretion. This is currently in Rules & Procedures but not Statutes. Over summer I looked at this to make the decision to call a special session. I don't think a petition is necessary every single time, and it's not bad to allow the Senate President this power. We can boycott that meeting and deny quorum if it gets that drastic. Somebody in Judiciary amended so that if the Senate President calls a special session and you're absent, it will not count negatively towards your attendance.

General Questioning:

- Tucker to the Sponsor: What amendments were made in Rules today (10/19)?
 - It's nothing substantive but just structural.
- Tucker to Kariher: Are these the grammatical things you talked about?
 - Some grammatical in my opinion the beginning portion didn't say what we wanted it to say. Before I amended it, it said they shall call a meeting with twenty-four hours at their discretion, which I changed to be if a special session is called without petition, then they wouldn't get the absence, rather if a petition is called than an absence would be incurred.
- Folwell to the Sponsor: It was stricken out a 24-hour notice, would you comment on why that was not added?
 - Please redirect that question to Kariher.
- Folwell to Kariher: It was stricken out a 24-hour notice, would you comment on why that was not added?
 - Instead of restating each rule over again, you have it in one place. If you look under the Law of Sunshine, every meeting has to have a 24-hour notice – if this is changed, we only have to go to one place to make this change rather than view every section.
- Folwell to Kariher: Is it your belief that the stricken-out section in statutes to be in-line with Sunshine Law as opposed to prevent possible Senate President corruption?
 - It's already in statutes. This just makes it so if there is a change it's simpler to change.
- Edouard to Kariher: RECORDING INAUDIBLE (02:40:47)?
 - Essentially if we say 24-hours each spot and a change is made, instead of changing each section we only have to go to that one place.
- Casiple to the Sponsor: If I hypothetically amend to say an excused absent shall not negatively affect a Senator's attendance if a majority of the Senate signs a petition – would you be friendly to that?
 - Unfriendly because I'd want that to be debated by the Senate.
- Point of Clarification: Kariher: Because it's under sub-section 'B,' the attendance record comes into effect if it's not signed by petition. If everyone signs a petition, your excused absence is counted as regular.

- Folwell to President Hunter: If you get a petition, you can still call the meeting technically?
 - We're meeting at that time. The semantics of who's calling the meeting is irrelevant but Senators can petition for a special session – which I may not be able to make – and I can call one.
- Folwell to the Sponsor: Would you say the terminology of Line A is technically wrong?
 - No.
- DuChêne moves to enter 'Pro/Con Debate,' Kariher seconds.
 - No objections.
 - Senate enters 'Pro/Con Debate' on Bill 84.

First Round of Pro:

- DuChêne: I'm in favor of this as if a majority of Senators call by petition a special session, then the attendance policy would be enforced. However, if the Senate President decides to call a special session, the attendance record of Senators would not be affected. I think this clarifies gaps.
- Casiple: My concerns in Judiciary have been eased with the amendments and I'm content with how Rules went about this.
- Folwell: This is a good Bill after it being explained and its intuitive. The function of the Bill works.

First Round of Con:

- o None.
- Suarez moves to pass Bill 84 by unanimous consent.
 - No objections.
 - Bill 84 passes by unanimous consent.

Constitutional Amendments: None.

Resolutions:

Resolution 57

Sponsored by Senator Schindler (P) Casiple, Hockett (Co)
This resolution promotes collaboration between the FSU Student Senate
and FAMU Student Senate regarding legislation that affects the FSUFAMU College of Engineering. (Referred to Student Life 09.14. Passed
in Student Life 10.07. Tabled on floor 10.12)

Tabled on the Floor.

Resolution 63

Sponsored by Senator Carter and Senator DuChêne (P) Bettley (Co) This resolution will condemn all forms of hate speech, drawing specific attention to antisemitism, given recent reports of antisemitic acts committed on campus. (Referred to Student Life and Rules & Calendar 09.21. Passed in Student Life 09.28. Passed in Rules & Calendar 10.05. Tabled on Floor 10.05, 10.12.)

Tabled on the Floor.

Resolution 64

Sponsored by Senator Bettley (P)

A revision to the Student Senate Rules of Procedure that eliminates possible motions to extend Senate meetings. Senate meetings can no longer be extended past 11 PM due to the Union closing at that time. (Referred to Rules & Calendar 10.05. Passed in Rules & Calendar 10.19.)

Tabled as not present.

Resolution 65

Sponsored by Senator Anderson (P) Schindler, Wyatt, Suarez (Co) Hate crimes against women are not classified as true hate crimes in Florida and Georgia, therefore, do not have to be reported federally. This resolution asks The Student Senate to recognize Maura's Voice Research Foundation and the positive impact of the goal that the foundation is doing as well as the Department of Criminology and College of Social work to classify hate crimes against women as hate crimes in Florida and Georgia. (Referred to Student Life 10.12. Passed in Student Life 10.14.)

• Opening Statement:

This is the reason I came to Senate – this regards a gunman who opened fire in a yoga studio in Tallahassee in violence against women. The man was openly against women on social media. *RECODING INAUDIBLE* (01:56:24). In the state of Florida, hate crimes are not hate crimes against women. This is important to me and the University. November 2nd will have a memorial service at the Integration Statue. Two members of this University's body were affected by this event.

General Questioning:

o None.

First Round of Pro:

 Rider: During pre-recruitment we did a practice with Delta Delta Delta and they do an amazing job representing this and I hope people of Florida State read every word of this. This is an incredible Resolution.

• First Round of Con:

- None.
- Carter moves to pass by acclimation.
 - No objections.
 - Resolution 65 passes.
- DuChêne moves for the 'Order of the Day.'
 - No objections

- The Calendar moves into the 'Order of the Day.'
- Senate moves into Consent Resolution 29.

Resolution 66 Sponsored by Senator Kariher (P)

Resolution to create an Investigative Board due to A&S fee account Discrepancies. (Referred to Student Life and Budget 10.12. Tabled in Student Life 10.14.)

Tabled on the Floor.

Resolution 67

Sponsored by Senator DuChêne (P) **Barrett**, Bettley, Burton, Carter, Diaz, Folwell, Kariher, Mantilla, Rivers, Soares, St. Hilaire (Co) A resolution commemorating Indigenous Peoples' Day and re-affirming the Student Senate's support for Indigenous communities. (Referred to Student Life 10.12. Passed in Student Life 10.14.)

- Opening Statement:
 - Reads resolution in its entirety.
- General Questioning:
 - None.
- First Round of Pro:
 - None.
- First Round of Con:
 - o None.
 - Boisvert moves to pass Resolution 67 by unanimous consent.
 - Suarez objects.
 - Boisvert withdraws.
 - Suarez moves to pass Resolution 67 by acclimation.
 - Edouard objects.
 - Suarez withdraws.
 - Edouard moves to amend to add a copy of Resolution 67 be sent to 'Governor, Ron DeSantis,' Folwell seconds.
 - No objections.
 - Author finds it friendly.
 - Amendment adopted.
 - Kariher moves to pass Resolution 67 by acclimation.
 - Dale objects.
 - Kariher withdraws.
 - Point of Information: Dale to the Sponsor: As this Resolution pertains to the Seminole Tribe would it be worthwhile to include individuals from their organization?
 - I would be amendable to that.

- Dale moves to amend to add a copy of Resolution 67 be sent to <u>RECORDING INAUDIBLE</u> (02:56:34), Hockett seconds.
 - No objections.
 - Author finds it friendly.
 - Amendment adopted.
- Kariher moves to pass Resolution 67 by acclimation.
 - No objections.
 - Resolution 67 passes by acclimation.

Resolution 68

Sponsored by Senator Schindler and Senator Hunter (P) Malone, Diaz (Co)

This resolution endorses and affirms the Florida Board of Governors' 2019 Statement of Free Expression and the Civil Discourse Initiative.

Resolution 69

Sponsored by Senator Rider and Senator Malone (P)
This is the allocation of funds for College Leadership Councils (CLCs).
This block amount was decided by the Budget Committee allocation for the fiscal year. This is a breakdown of how much each council requested and was allocated according to the budget.

- Rider moves to put Resolution 69 on 'Second Reading,' Edouard seconds.
 - No objections.
 - Resolution 69 is placed on 'Second Reading.'
 - Opening Statement:
 - Rider: This Resolution goes more in depth on the associated events of the Student Leadership Councils. The reason this is coming to Senate is to correct a mistake as \$10,000 was budgeted where \$15,000 was promised. We reallocated and heard presentations from a few Student Leadership Councils and reallocated accordingly. We were able to fund everyone and is very simple and clean-cut.
 - Malone: This corrected a previous mistake there's no negative but only a positive.
 - General Questioning:
 - Kariher to the Sponsors: what was the mistake made?
 - Rider: The wrong amount was allocated in the last administration.
 - Kariher to President Hunter: Why?
 - The previous administration of the Executive Offices failed.
 - Kariher to President Hunter: They got funding last year?
 - This is a funding council that didn't pass last year as it was the wrong amount so failed.
 - Kariher to President Hunter: What account does this come from?
 - Budget.

- Casiple to the Sponsors: They haven't used this money vet?
 - Rider: This money hasn't been allocated.
- o Casiple: When would the money be allocated?
 - Rider: It would just be allocated.
- Point of Clarification: Hunter: This money is funded through the Leadership Councils. As there are so many Student Leadership Councils, we need to allocate how much funding each Council receives.
- O Wyatt to the Sponsors: When does this happen?
 - At Budget, tomorrow (10/20).
- Kariher: This is not from last year?
 - Rider: This was supposed to be done for the semester we're in right now.
- O Point of Clarification: Barrett: I sat on this last time. We thought we had a certain amount of money from the last Budget, we allocated it and found out we had more money to allocate therefore our discussions were void. A new Administration carried over and so it had to be failed. We have more money than we thought.
- Casiple to President Hunter: This never passed last Senate?
 - It was failed/withdrawn.
- Folwell moves to enter 'Pro/Con Debate,' Soares seconds.
 - No objections.
 - Senate enters 'Pro/Con Debate' on Resolution
 69
- First Round of Pro:
 - DuChêne: I think we should pass the Resolution.
 - Folwell: I agree.
- First Round of Con:
 - None.
 - Gummerman moves to pass Resolution 69 by unanimous consent.
 - No objections.
 - Resolution 69 passes by unanimous consent.
- Resolution 70 Sponsored by Senator Anand and Senator Suarez (P) Boisvert, Carter, Diaz, Folwell, Rider, St. Hilaire (Co)

This resolution commends FSU's Student Foundation for taking the initiative to fundraise for the National Pan-Hellenic Council (NPHC) Park and bringing it to the Student Senate's attention which inspired a \$2,500 donation to be made on behalf of the Student Senate as a gift to FSU's NPHC.

Resolution 71 Sponsored by Senator Rivers (P)

A resolution celebrating and highlighting Breast Cancer Awareness Month.

Resolution 72

Sponsored by Senator Barrett (P) Bettley, Boisvert, Weintraub (Co) A resolution encouraging Recognized Student organizations at FSU to adopt and utilize more sustainable guidelines and techniques. As well as encourage them to look to the work of FSU Sustainable Campus.

Unfinished Business: None.

Statements of Dissent: None.

New Business:

- Fall Senate Awards
 - Brandewie Award.
 - Nemeth nominates DuChêne, Tucker seconds.
 - DuChêne accepts.
 - Tucker nominates Rider, Anand seconds.
 - Rider accepts
 - Anand nominates Diaz, Folwell seconds.
 - Diaz accepts.
 - Kariher moves to close the Floor for nominations for the Brandewie Award, Tucker seconds.
 - No objections.
 - The Floor is closed for nominations for the Brandewie Award.
- Secret Ballot occurs.
 - New Senator of the Year
 - Barrett moves to open the Floor for nominations for the New Senator of the Year Award, Suarez seconds.
 - No objections.
 - The Floor is opened for nominations for the New Senator of the Year Award.
 - o Casiple nominates Carter, Anand seconds.
 - Carter accepts.
 - Suarez moves to close the Floor for nominations for the New Senator of the Year Award, Folwell seconds.
 - Boisvert objects.
 - Suarez withdraws.
 - Boisvert nominates Malone, Rider accepts.
 - Malone declines.
 - Suarez moves to close the Floor for nominations for the New Senator of the Year Award, Folwell seconds.
 - No objections.
 - The Floor for nominations for the New Senator of the Year Award is closed.

- Russell Hellein Award for Exemplary Dedication and Service to Student Government
 - DuChêne moves to open the Floor for nominations for the Russell Hellein Award for Exemplary Dedication and Service to Student Government, McMahon seconds.
 - No objections.
 - The Floor is opened for nominations for the Russell Hellein Award for Exemplary Dedication and Service to Student Government.
 - o Edouard nominates President Hunter, Suarez seconds.
 - Hunter declines.
 - Tucker nominates DuChêne, Diaz seconds.
 - DuChêne declines.
 - o Tucker nominates Pro Tempore Nemeth, Casiple seconds.
 - Pro Tempore Nemeth accepts.
 - Kariher moves to close the Floor for nominations for the Russell Hellein Award for Exemplary Dedication and Service to Student Government, Tucker seconds.
 - No objections.
 - The Floor is closed for nominations for the Russell Hellein Award for Exemplary Dedication and Service to Student Government.
- Appointment and Re Instatement of the Sexual Assault and Awareness Ad Hoc
 - Hunter appoints Carter, Suarez, Kaneshige, Anand, and Boisvert to the Sexual Assault and Awareness Ad Hoc.

Closing Announcements:

- Folwell: Thank you for passing Sweepings and congratulations to those in the elections today.
- Hockett: Finance will be meeting at 2:30 on Friday (10/21).
- Casiple: What a great day congratulations to everyone in the awards. We can go to global café to celebrate on Friday (10/21) to support the Filipino Student Association.
- o St. Hilaire: I would like to remind everyone that Senator Hockett is a personal trainer.

Officer Announcements:

- Historian Cofer: Goodnight.
- Clerk Latiff: Email me and goodnight.
- Pro Tempore Nemeth: Thank you all so much. A few people are on the verge of their five absences so please finish strong.
- President Hunter: I'm proud of you guys for getting Sweepings done. Show up to Budget please.

Advisor Announcements:

 Jacalyn: Thank you all for coming tonight – shoutout to Sweepings. Thank you for the discourse, you've been asking good questions. The Advising team is proud of you all.

Final Roll Call:

<u>Edouard, Folwell, Mantilla, Tucker, Crocker, Hockett, Casiple, Anand, DuChêne, Kariher, Suarez, Barrett, Soares, Rider, Wyatt, Diaz, McMahon, St. Hilaire, Vollick, Roogow, Rivera, Carter, Malone, Boisvert, Hunter, Nemeth, Gummerman, Rainey, Klostreich, Staveski Birdsong, Dale.</u>

Adjournment:

- President Hunter adjourns the meeting at 10:58pm.
 - o Senate is adjourned at 10:58pm.