

THE SEVENTY-FOURTH STUDENT SENATE

Calendar: April 4th, 2022

Location: Zoom

Time: 7:30pm

Zoom Link: <https://fsu.zoom.us/j/92325341806>

Recording Link: https://fsu.zoom.us/rec/play/23yucBmHN7c6Xc7i7S56cbK_Kj-u_1PPPclhZvDkut1qIRE-wuQxwVarbS8rcIOiNZdv3H6DH0zIFxC9.EA6I7ahgzLdMUrms?continueMode=true&x_zm_rtaid=77MirSgUR0-W4fZxvIIRSA.1650052797173.92cac25e64a28d09662a2de87f4bc7aa&x_zm_rhtaid=123

Call to Order:

- President Myers calls the meeting to order at 7:46pm.

Pledge of Allegiance:

- Led by Rivers.

Moment of Silent Reflection:

Land Acknowledgement:

Roy: The Student Government of Florida State University acknowledges that it is located on land that is the ancestral and traditional territory of the Apalachee Nation, the Miccosukee Tribe of Florida, the Muscogee Creek Nation, and the Seminole Tribe of Florida. We pay respect to their Elders past and present and extend that respect to their descendants and to all Indigenous people. We recognize this land remains scarred by the histories and ongoing legacies of settler colonial violence, dispossession, and removal. In spite of all this, and with tremendous resilience, these Indigenous Nations have remained deeply connected to this territory, to their families, to their communities, and to their cultural ways of life. We recognize the ongoing relationships of care that these Indigenous Nations maintain with this land and extend our gratitude as we live and work as humble and respectful guests upon their territory. We encourage all to learn about and educate others on the contemporary work of the Indigenous Nations whose land we are on and to endeavor to support Indigenous sovereignty in all the ways that we can.

Roll Call:

- Refer to Attendance.

Verification of Quorum:

- Verified.

Corrections and Approval of the Journal 3.30.2022:

- No Journal posted.

Student and Non-Budgeted RSO Comments: None:

https://fsu.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_b9EVvRotPr4y03r

Special Introductions and Announcements: None.

Messages from the Executive Branch: None.

Messages from Agency and Bureau Directors, SGA Organizations Officers and Employees: None.

Messages from the Executive Cabinet: None.

Messages from the Class Councils:

- Edouard: Senior Class Council will be hosting a seminar on life after graduation tomorrow (4/5) from 6:00-7:00pm in HCB310. Please come if you can. Thank you.

Messages from the Congress of Graduate Students: None.

Messages from the Judicial Branch: None.

Messages from the Union Board and Student Engagement Ambassadors: None.

Messages from the Campus Recreation Board: None.

Report of the SGA Accounting Office: Remaining Balances: Senate Projects \$5,020.22, PAC \$501.00, RTAC \$4,820.00, and ORG Fund \$3,000

Report of Senate Liaisons:

Stewart: I'm the liaison for the College of Social Sciences Student Leadership Council, the biggest event of the year is coming up - the 'Agree to Disagree' partnership with the Power of WE. You get to sit and talk with other students who may have other interests and opinions and find a way to connect with them in a way you couldn't. It's on Monday, April 11 at 5:30pm in the Honors, Scholars, & Fellows House (HSF) Great Hall. Their Instagram has more information.

Bettley: Florida PURG is having a field day on Wednesday, April 13th from 4:00-7:00pm on **RECORDING INAUDIBLE** (00:09:46) Green which will have free pizza and drinks.

Roy: As PAC liaison, PAC has \$3,501 as the Calendar hasn't been updated, and we will be meeting Thursday (4/6) to hear requests that we can hopefully enter 'Finance as a Whole' on.

Diaz: I am the HLSU Liaison and this Friday, April 8th is their annual gala at the Wesley Foundation from 5:00-9:00pm. It is a black-tie event with free food, and I will be supporting Bill 22 to let that happen.

Report of Committees:

- Judiciary
 - Meeting tomorrow (4/5) at 7:00pm.
- Internal Affairs
 - Met this afternoon (4/4) at 6:30pm where we heard Bill 41 and passed unamended.
- Budget
 - Meeting tomorrow (4/5) at 5:00pm.
- Finance
 - Will not this week as RTAC and PAC have no Consent Resolutions and we have no Bills to cover.
- Student Life
 - Met Thursday (3/31) and amended and passed Bill 24, tabled Bill 35, Resolution 27 was withdrawn, passed Bills 39 and 45, and amended and passed Resolution 29.
- Rules & Calendar
 - Will not be meeting this week as the website has not been updated.

Senate Confirmations:

- None.

Consent Calendar:

- None.
- **Pfeuffer-Ferguson moves to unlock the calendar to Resolution 33, DuChêne seconds.**
 - **Bettley objects**
 - **Pfeuffer-Ferguson does not withdraw.**
 - **Voting Results:**
 - **15 yes, 8 no.**
 - **Motion fails.**
- *Senate continues to 'Bills First Reading.'*

Bills First Reading:

- Bill 24 Sponsored by Senator Suarez and Senator Wells (P) Murray (Co)
 To allow first-year college students to represent the college of undergraduate studies despite being enrolled in their University Division. **(Referred to Student Life and Judiciary. Passed and Amended in Student Life 3.31.)**
- Bill 35 Sponsored by Senator Beall (P) Hunter (Co)
 To complete funding for Bill 88 that was passed in the 73rd senate to install one large picnic bench outside of the Rovetta building. The amount listed would be used to cover the freight and installation costs of the table. **(Referred to Student Life and Budget 3.23. Tabled in Student Life 3.24. 3.31.)**
- Bill 36 Sponsored by Senator Diaz and Senator DuChêne (P)
 Pfeuffer-Ferguson(Co)
 Restructuring the statute pertaining to the Senate Award for Excellence and providing procedural guidelines for nominations. **(Referred to Judiciary 3.30.)**
- Bill 37 Sponsored by Senator Diaz (P) Roy, Pfeuffer-Ferguson, Myers, Stewart, Bettley (Co)
 Providing for more internal accountability by adding the Directors of the Executive Institutes to the list of Major Offices and those appointed officers subject to impeachment by the Student Senate, and for other purposes. **(Referred to Judiciary 3.30.)**
- Bill 39 Sponsored by Senator Diaz and Senator Stewart (P) Barrett (Co)

Providing a method by which non-Senators can endorse legislation. (Referred to Student Life and Judiciary 3.30. Passed in Student Life 3.31.)

- **Stewart moves to enter 'Judiciary as a Whole,' B. Suarez seconds.**
 - **Gonzalez objects.**
 - **Stewart does not withdraw.**
- *Motion is debatable.*
 - Opening Statement:
 - This is my last meeting as a Senator and it's a little bit bittersweet. I have one Bill that I'd like to pass as my final Bill. We could probably get some really great Amendments and/or passing it tonight and I'd love to see that happen.
 - Technical Non-Debatable Questions:
 - Lessard: Are there any primary Sponsors whose terms does not expire with Inauguration.
 - Yes.
 - First Round of Pro:
 - Point of Parliamentary Inquiry: Gonzalez: If Stewart terms out can her name still remain on this Bill while the other Primary Sponsor presents it?
 - I believe we have had times where a Sponsor leaves or reigns that their name stays on the Bill as long as their was someone else.
 - B. Suarez: ***RECORIDNG INAUDIBLE*** (00:21:07) especially as we're doing things out of order today. There are a lot of Senators going out, I think we should do this.
 - First Round of Con:
 - No speakers.
 - **Diaz moves to call the question, DuChêne seconds.**
 - **No objections**
 - **The question is called.**
 - Closing Statement:
 - This is something I think can change the trajectory of Senate and connect the different Branches. I would love the opportunity to present myself – especially as it is my last Senate meeting. I really hope you vote 'yes.'
 - Voting Results:
 - **22 yes, 5 no.**
 - **The motion passes.**
- *Senate moves into 'Judiciary as a Whole.'*
 - Point of Clarification: DuChêne: This Bill was passed unamended in Student Life.

- Point of Parliamentary Inquiry: Lessard: If we're in 'Judiciary as a Whole,' do we have to go in order or can we jump around?
 - It's the same way the Chair of a Committee can move around the agenda.

- Opening Statement:
 - Stewart: This is something Vice Chair Diaz and I passionate about that may have many implications that aren't talked about straightforwardly in the Bill. This Bill allows for an added section on the top of a Bill where there can be a non-Senator Co-Sponsor to allow for up to five individuals listed as Agency Bureaus and Directors, SGA Organizations Officers, Employees, or RSO Leaders, that can endorse pieces of legislation. This would be at the discretion of the Primary Sponsor. For example, in the Fall President Hunter sponsored the OGA Bill and if she wanted the OGA Director to endorse that Bill, this would allow the endorsement to be presented at the Senate meeting, throughout the Committee review process, and again on the Senate Floor. We came up with the legislation endorsement form which gives the consent for the person endorsing the Bill. There is a section where they can add comments and the opportunity for them to withdraw throughout the entire Committee review process and on the Senate Floor. There are a few instances where peoples' words may have been taken for granted, but this can have positive implications when working with people such as Agency Directors, Bureaus, RSO Leaders, etc. to endorse bills.
 - Diaz: If you've been to the Capitol, you know that after debate on Bills, they go through a list of people that are supporting the Bill but this provides a way for people who support legislation to put their name on it. This is in the mindset of not misrepresenting individuals.

- Technical Non-Debatable Questions:
 - Rivers: Do they believe that these non-Senators can also condemn these pieces of legislation.
 - Stewart: Yes, at the discretion of the Primary Sponsor.
 - Bettley: Do you believe this serves a different purpose than the messages section already in the Calendar?
 - Stewart: Yes.
 - Lessard: Are you saying that they could use this to condemn the legislation as well?
 - Diaz: As the Bill is written, you can only to add your name as a Co-Sponsor.
 - Rivers: Are sponsors aware of definition of 'fearmongering'?
 - Stewart: Yes.
 - Gonzalez: Did the Sponsors already create the legislation endorsement form?

- Stewart: Yes.
 - Bettley: Would this Bill enable non-Senators to speak during meetings?
 - Diaz: No, this does not amend current speaking rules, but allows one line on legislation packets.
 - Gonzalez: Would you say this is a direct repercussion of what you all believe to be misrepresentation?
 - Diaz: Partly so.
 - DuChêne: Will the forms be accessible to Senators and where would they be visible?
 - Diaz: Our intent is for that to be at discretion of the Senate President to handle, but there already forms on SGA website for subpoenas, etc.
 - DuChêne: To clarify, endorsers will not be allowed to speak on the Floor but can put a statement on the form that could be read during the presentation of the Bill?
 - Diaz: It does not change current speaking rules, it adds a potential statement that could be read.
 - DeChick: Do RSO leaders include only Presidents or Executive Board members as well?
 - Stewart: Both.
 - Gonzalez: Will the statement be attached to the Legislation?
 - Stewart: Yes.
 - Rivers: Would this prevent RSO leaders who are current Senators from endorsing this legislation?
 - Both: No.
 - Lessard: Would these statements be included as supplemental or documentations or listed in the 'whereas, be it resolved' section?
 - Stewart: Supplemental.
 - Roy: Will it include their titles or just their name?
 - Stewart: Title.
 - Rivers: Did the Sponsors create the form by themselves?
 - Stewart: Yes.
 - Gonzalez: If someone wants to withdraw endorsements do they withdraw it with a form?
 - Stewart: No.
 - Bettley: Would you consider the three to seven sentences for a writer endorsement as debate?
 - Stewart: No.
 - **Lessard moves to enter roundtables, Little seconds.**
 - **No objections.**
 - **Senate moves into roundtables.**
- *Senate is now in 'Roundtables.'*
 - Point of Information: Lessard to the Sponsors: If a non-Senator co-sponsor wants to withdraw their endorsement, how would they do that?
 - Diaz: It's the same process for removing co-sponsors currently

- Point of Information: Rivers to the Sponsors: Do you think these endorsements will insight fear in the Legislative Branch?
 - Stewart: No.
- Point of Information: Rivers to the Sponsors: Do you think it will insight bias on certain pieces of Legislation?
 - Stewart: No.
- Point of Information: Rivers to the Sponsors: Can the Sponsors inform me as how this will not insight bias?
 - Stewart: It's at the discretion of the Primary Sponsor - nothing in here says you can't have somebody say 'I like this Bill.' It's the same thing if you had a motion for somebody to speak.
 - Diaz: I think it opens Senate to be more democratic and transparent about who is behind the Bills, and reflective of the people we serve. If that created bias, so be it.
- Point of Information: Gonzalez to the Sponsors: You are fine if the repercussion of this Bill is to create bias in the Senate?
 - Diaz: I don't think it does.
 - Stewart: In our opinion it doesn't create bias.
- Point of Information: Gonzalez to the Sponsors: Can you define debate?
 - Stewart: No.
- Point of Information: Bettley to the Sponsors: Do you think having and influential person on campus endorse a Bill will change the way Senators vote?
 - Stewart: If somebody - for example the Student Body President - wanted to support a Bill, I believe they would put their credibility first.
- Point of Information: Bettley to the Sponsors: Regardless of credibility, if there are Senators not confident in their positions and tend to vote on what they see on the Floor, and see a powerful figure endorsing something, don't you think they may be more lenient to voting 'yes' because they think the person is more knowledgeable, thus more correct?
 - Diaz: That is already possible as anyone can make a comment. This just allows for an official method for those sentiments to be expressed.
- Point of Information: Rivers to the Sponsors: Would the Sponsors say the point of this is to get the opinions of different Agencies, Bureaus, and RSO's on campus?
 - Stewart: Yes - the opinions of those represented on campus.
- Point of Information: Rivers to the Sponsors: Why did you also include "I also acknowledge unless otherwise stated that these views are my own and may not necessarily reflect those of my Agency Bureau or SGA Organization.
 - Stewart: Not everyone in their organization may necessarily agree with their idea so the point is to

keep them in their independent idea of thinking as somebody in their organization may disagree.

- Point of Information: Gonzalez to the Sponsors: Are you aware that Statutes where it says different individuals and different Branches of students in Student Government may not interfere with the legislative process.
 - Stewart: Yes.
- Point of Information: Gonzalez to the Sponsors: Do you think this would break statutes?
 - **Gonzalez withdraws their point.**
- Point of Parliamentary Inquiry: Rivers: If this passes can Senate rectify the language on this form?
 - I cannot answer that question as I don't know the entirety of this Bill.
- Point of Personal Privilege: Garner: While I'm all for debate, some of these points have been adversarial, so can we try and not force the Sponsors to give an answer we're looking for - that would be a far better use of our time.
- Point of Information: Hockett to the Sponsors: Let's say a non-Senator fills out a form, do they reserve the right to refuse the admission of it in the Bill?
 - Stewart: It's at the discretion of Primary Sponsor, so yes.
- DuChêne: I have some concerns that I've been thinking about. I feel that the individuals enumerated in this as being allowed to endorse the legislation have the ability to speak in the beginning of the meeting already. I would trust the Agency Director if they came to the beginning of the meeting rather than simply filling out a form. The Primary Sponsor could include a 'whereas clause' where someone says 'this' in support of a Bill. Thirdly, in my view this form allows non-senators to speak which is an object able motion, which I wonder if it circumvents the ability of Senators to object on non-senators to speak.
- Roy: There are some things in this Bill that are good and bad. This is not a system we use in Florida; I think it interferes as much as any student trying to be involved in the Senate. This is a good idea in the fact that it encourages senators to reach out more and engage. I don't imagine this being on every piece of legislation. This represents what our advisors have recommended about encouraging others thought out process. I have faith in Senators, we have the ability to disagree through our ultimate vote. We rarely hear from people - I would love people to come but think this is much more convenient. I have questions about how we're able to read this. It may be a way of circumventing; I want to make sure these are accessible. I think this is a system that can do good things and involve more people.
- Point of Clarification: Jacalyn: This has nothing to do with what we've asked you all to do.

- Point of Parliamentary Inquiry: Gonzalez: Who currently controls the forms on the SGA website under the Legislative Branch?
 - Ben Young.
- Point of Parliamentary Inquiry: Gonzalez: How would one edit this form?
 - There is no current process, unless stated somewhere on this Bill.
- Point of Clarification: Roy: I'm not saying this Bill was made as a result of our Advisors, rather it pertains to some of what they've spoken about.
- Point of Information: Bettley to the Sponsors: Do you intend for this to be on SGA website?
 - Stewart: Yes.
- Point of Information: Bettley: Have you spoken to Ben Young about the logistics of that?
 - Stewart: No.
- Point of Information: Gonzalez to the Sponsors: Who falls under SGA Officers?
 - Stewart: Anyone who is an SGA Organization Officer. It's the same wording as in the title of every Calendar.
- Point of Information: Gonzalez to the Sponsors: Do people in the Executive Branch fall under SGA Organization Officers?
 - Stewart: Technically yes.
- Point of Information: Bettley the Sponsors: If posted, do you know who would be involved?
 - Jacalyn: Ben Young, the Senate President, and the Senate Clerk.
- Point of Information: Bettley to the Sponsors: Have you spoken to the Senate President and Senate Clerk?
 - Stewart: We have spoken to the Senate President.
- Point of Information: DuChêne to the Sponsors: Would be attached to bottom of the Resolution or in a separate file
 - Stewart: It would be separate.
- Point of Information: Little to the Sponsors: If Bill passed with forms attached, would the endorsement form be attached as part of the legislation published if the Bill is padded?
 - Stewart: Yes.
- Lessard: There are a few definitions I think we should pay attention to, one of which is debate ("a formal discussion on a particular topic in which closing arguments are put forward"). I think including three to seven sentences as to why, blurs the line on if this is debate or not debate. Because this is formally attached to Legislation, I would say this gives non-senators the ability to debate legislation on the Senate Floor which goes against statutes. People can speak in comments. I'm not against an informal endorsement but this is too formal.

- Point of Information: Garner: Would the Sponsors find it friendly if an amendment was offered to exclude the opinions from being on legislation but allow names of those would like to Sponsor.
 - Stewart: Yes.
- Rivers: I would like to point out where it says, “however it is my responsibility to keep up with this process in order to be able to recognize when or if I want to withdraw my endorsement.” If a Primary Sponsor is reaching out to one of these people for an endorsement, I would find the Primary Sponsor responsible for updating that individual on updates to the Bill as, as it is now. they can get endorsement and the Bill is amended throughout committee and when the Bill is on the Senate Floor the person may not agree with their endorsement anymore and it wouldn’t be the responsibility of the Primary Sponsor to inform of changes and amendments. I find this process a little scary.
- Point of Information: DuChêne to the Sponsors: “This form shall be attached to the piece of Legislation upon submission and will be reviewed alongside that piece of Legislation as it is processed through Committee and seen on the Senate Floor.” How does that text reconcile with you saying it would be a separate file?
 - Stewart: That’s our bad and on us.
- Point of Information: DuChêne to the Sponsors: The Bill would be finished, a page break, and these forms?
 - Stewart: We wanted in the Qualtrics where you submit legislation to also have to opportunity to submit this form, which would move throughout the Committee Review process with the Legislation. It should be attached.
- Point of Information: Bettley to the Sponsors: Who will submit this legislation endorsement form?
 - Stewart: The Primary Sponsor.
- Point of Information: Bettley to the Sponsors: What is stopping people form writing random names and certain details?
 - Stewart: This requires an electronic signature, and under U.S. laws you cannot forge a signature.
- Point of Information: Lessard to the Sponsors: Wouldn’t the best way to do this, if we had a separate submission on the SGA website, not being a contract as ‘forgery’ is a strong word.
 - Stewart: We would be friendly to an Amendment.
- Point of Information: Gonzalez to the Sponsors: You said the Primary Sponsor submits this, but technically the signature is the person that endorses it, so technically you’re asking the Primary Sponsor to forge it as they are the one’s submitting the form.

- Diaz: The Bill doesn't specify who submits the document, would be up to implementation of the Senate President.
- Point of Information: Hockett to the Sponsors: If we can amend a Bill in Committee, can Senators in Committee have the right to amend this attached document?
 - Stewart: No.
 - Diaz: This is just a template.
- Point of Information: DuChêne to the Sponsors: If this is attached to the Bill how is it a part of the Bill that is unamendable?
 - Diaz: It's not statutory text, so I understand it to not be amendable.
- Point of Information: DuChêne to the Sponsors: Where in the Bill does it say the Senate President handles this?
 - Diaz: I don't believe in there so as not specified, but I believe it to be implied as the Senate President gets that authority.
- Point of Information: Gonzalez to the Sponsors: If the power you are giving it is from the power of statutes, how is this not statutory text?
 - Diaz: I believe Chair DuChêne was asking if it's within the Bill that a Committee is reviewing - what make this endorsement form unamendable? When debating a Bill in Committee (as far as I understand) only allowed to amend the text being amended in the Bill, meaning you cannot move to amend this form in Committee.
- Point of Information: Roy to the Sponsors: Would you be acceptable to an amendment that adds proviso language where the Rules Committee will have to draft this and approved by the Senate via a separate vote?
 - Stewart: Yes.
- Point of Information: Roy to the Sponsors: We will be debating and amending this a lot, would you be friendly to tabling this?
 - Stewart: Yes.
- Point of Information: Lessard to the Sponsors: I would agree on the SGA application at the end there is a section for supplemental documentation, would you be friendly to include this in Resolution – so it's not saturator language attached to the Bill.
 - Stewart: Yes.
- Point of Clarification: Gonzalez: Where you submit legislation does not have a section for supplemental documents.
- **Roy moves to table Bill 36, Gonzalez seconds.**
 - **Little objects**
 - **Roy withdraws.**
- Little: The Public Comment exists for anyone to share their thoughts on any piece of legislation which shows more support. Allowing this form discourages participation in our

meeting across SGA and this allows them not to come to meetings anymore. I agree to what people have said allowing people's specific opinions on legislation is a form of debate. If this is attached to the form, I would agree that this allows people to author Bills who are not in Senate and the words of those not Senators are being published and allowing them to author legislation in our Chambers. This Bill is a reaction to those misrepresenting opinions of others on the Senate Floor, but this would allow to 'cherry pick' opinions which will be misleading - this decreases autonomy as a Body. This would create bias against those who don't have as many personal questions. People in SGA are difficult to get ahold of so Senators may be biased why you didn't get someone to endorse this Bill.

- **Lessard moves to table Bill 33, DeChick seconds.**
 - **No objections.**
 - **Bill 33 is tabled.**
- **Lessard moves to exit Committee, Gonzalez seconds.**
 - **No objections.**
 - **Senate exits Committee.**
- *Senate moves to enter 'Bills on First Reading.'*

- | | |
|---------|--|
| Bill 40 | Sponsored by Senator Bettley (P)
Transferring \$1500.00 from the Pride Student Union Food Category to their Contractual Services Category to bring a guest speaker for an AASU x Pride Speaker on April 21st. (Referred to Budget 3.30.) |
| Bill 41 | Sponsored by Senator Wang (P) Bettley, Gonzalez, Little, Rivers, DuChêne (Co)
Allowing seats without sworn-in senators to become vacant. (Referred to Internal Affairs and Judiciary 3.30.) |
| Bill 42 | Sponsored by Senator Wang (P) Duchene, Bettley, Gonzalez, Rivers, Little (Co)
Changing election results announcements from New Business to Special Introductions of the Senate Calendar. (Referred to Judiciary 3.30.) |
| Bill 45 | Sponsored by Senator Drackley (P) Diaz, Hunter, and Russell (Co)
To move the duties of the Senate newsletter from the Senate President to the Senate Press Secretary and Senate Historian. This will allow the Senate newsletter to be done more consistently as well as open more transparency of what the Student Senate does to our constituents in the Student Body. (Referred to Student Life and Judiciary 3.30. Passed in Student Life 3.30.) |

Bills Second Reading: None.

Constitutional Amendments:

CA 2 Sponsored by Senator Wang (P)
 To make appointed Temporary Justices subject to Senate confirmation.

Resolutions:

Resolution 9 Sponsored by Senator Roy (P)
 Rules of Procedure Changes regarding masks and Zoom cameras.
(Referred to Rules and Calendar. Tabled in Rules and Calendar 2.9. 2.16 2.22. 3.9. 3.23. Withdrawn by the sponsor on 03.30)

Resolution 20 Sponsored by Senator Russell & Senator Diaz (P) Lessard, Hautrive, Fronczak, Pfeuffer-Ferguson, Barberis, Downing, Drackley (Co)
 The Internal Affairs Committee's Bureau review recommendations for the Office of Governmental Affairs. **(Referred to Student Life 3.23. Passed and Amended in Student Life 3.24.)**

- **DuChêne moves to suspend the Rules and hear all Internal Affairs Bureau Review Resolutions in a block, Lessard seconds.**
 - **No objections.**
 - **All Internal Affairs Bureau Review Resolutions are heard in a block.**
- **Opening Statement:**
 - Russell: None of these are mandating a change, they are our recommendations. We had a few weeks of presentations by every single bureau except the Office of Student Sustainability and Center for Participant Education as both of those bureaus do not currently function. Most of our recommendations were asking to engage more with the student body. We recommended the Office of Student Sustainability be terminated as there has not been participation with them in years – their purpose is also already fulfilled by the organization FSU Sustainable Campus and other RSO's. We have five out of seven bureau liaisons in our Committee.
 - Diaz: This is after a lot of interviews and deliberation in Internal Affairs to deliver our opinion to all of you. Look at OSS specifically as it is being recommended to be removed.
- **Technical Non-Debatable Questions:**
 - Lessard: Did any Bureaus not show up to present?
 - Russell: Yes – the Office of Student Sustainability and the Center for Participant Education.
- **First Round of Pro:**

- Lessard: I was in all three weeks of Bureau review deliberations and presentations. This is all to recommend, not to force changes. I know actual deliberations are at least two hours. It was a long, technical Internal Affairs Committee. These are just recommendations.
- **B. Suarez moves to amend myself and Senator Wells be added as co-sponsors on all these Resolutions.**
 - **Russell: I'm fine with you being added but not Senator Wells as he was not present at the meeting when we wrote the legislation.**
 - **B. Suarez is added as a co-sponsor.**
- Point of Information: Gonzalez to the Sponsors: For the Inter-Residence Hall Council, can you talk through the conversation was for them not getting enough funding?
 - Russell: I would have to reference the minutes. I believe they were asking for more funding to host more events, so I believe we agreed with her good, engaging ideas.
 - Diaz: All the presentations are on the published Minutes.
- **DuChêne moves to call the question, B. Suarez seconds.**
 - **No objections.**
 - **The question is called.**
- First Round of Con:
 - N/A.
- Closing Statement:
 - We waive.
- Voting Results:
 - **22 yes, 0 no, 0 abstentions.**
 - **Resolutions 20 to 27 pass.**

- Resolution 21 Sponsored by Senator Russell & Senator Diaz (P) Lessard, Hautrive, Fronczak, Pfeuffer-Ferguson, Barberis, Downing, Drackley (Co)
 The Internal Affairs Committee's Bureau review recommendations for the Inter-Residence Hall Council. **(Referred to Student Life 3.23. Passed in Student Life 3.24.)**
- Resolution 22 Sponsored by Senator Russell & Senator Diaz (P) Lessard, Hautrive, Fronczak, Pfeuffer-Ferguson, Barberis, Downing, Drackley (Co)
 The Internal Affairs Committee's Bureau review recommendations for the Student Council for Accessibility and Advocacy. **(Referred to Student Life 3.23. Passed in Student Life 3.24.)**
- Resolution 23 Sponsored by Senator Russell & Senator Diaz (P) Lessard, Hautrive, Fronczak, Pfeuffer-Ferguson, Barberis, Downing, Drackley (Co)
 The Internal Affairs Committee's Bureau review recommendations for the Mental Health Council. **(Referred to Student Life 3.23. Passed in Student Life 3.24.)**

- Resolution 24 Sponsored by Senator Russell & Senator Diaz (P) Lessard, Hautrive, Fronczak, Pfeuffer-Ferguson, Barberis, Downing, Drackley (Co)
The Internal Affairs Committee's Bureau review recommendations for the Student Council for Undergraduate Research and Creativity. **(Referred to Student Life 3.23. Passed in Student Life 3.24.)**
- Resolution 25 Sponsored by Senator Russell & Senator Diaz (P) Lessard, Hautrive, Fronczak, Pfeuffer-Ferguson, Barberis, Downing, Drackley (Co)
The Internal Affairs Committee's Bureau review recommendations for the Office of the Student Sustainability. **(Referred to Student Life 3.23. Passed in Student Life 3.24.)**
- Resolution 26 Sponsored by Senator Russell & Senator Diaz (P) Lessard, Hautrive, Fronczak, Pfeuffer-Ferguson, Barberis, Downing, Drackley (Co)
The Internal Affairs Committee's Bureau review recommendations for the Center for Participation Education. **(Referred to Student Life 3.23. Passed in Student Life 3.24.)**
- Resolution 29 Sponsored by Senator Diaz (P) Stewart, Russell, Roy, Barrett, Pfeuffer-Ferguson(Co)
Amending Rule 12 of the Senate Rules of Procedure to discourage Senators from indicating or implying that individuals or entities support or oppose legislation without their explicit consent. **(Referred to Student Life and Rules and Calendar 3.30. Passed and Amended in Student Life 3.31.)**
- Resolution 32 Sponsored by Senator Bettley (P) Bowling, DuChêne, Gonzalez, Meyers, Nemeth, Rivers, Wang, DeChick, Tsouroukdissian (Co)
Commemorating the work that Senator Little has done during her time in Senate and nominating her for the Florida State University Student Senate Hall of Fame.
- Opening Statement:
 - I believe Senator Little deserves to be in the Hall of Fame as she has served in three Senates and has impacted campus as a whole. For example, Homecoming Live and the Golden Torch Lecture Series was a concern for many students – which had a lot of pushback along with the Seminole imagery. Gabby made sure it happened and now we have it as Homecoming Live for as long as it stays, which is one of the many things she's done in Senate. Serving as Senators we are supposed to do things that make things easier, but it's rare to see a Senator that impacts what happens inside these Chambers but also the entirety of Florida State's campus. Homecoming Week is a huge week and changing that name is something to look at in the future for potential changes to Seminole imagery. I am truly Inspired by the work Senator Little has done.
 - First Round of Pro:

- Gonzalez: A lot of things she's done aren't on here. She was the first one nominated for every single Senate award last year, she chaired two impeachment trials and was the most fair person. She's the one to look to, to ensure your ethicality. I've looked up to her for so long as a leader as I think she has so much potential and is absolutely outstanding, and I don't think she gets enough recognition. She will be the model Senator for so long.
- DuChêne: She was the first Senator I interacted with, and those interactions made me feel welcome in this space. You can always tell when there's a great pre-law student in this Senate because every single piece of legislation that went to her in Judiciary, she takes such a tactful eye and approaches it through the smartest lens. She approaches everything from objectivity and her interpretation of statutes and procedures, rather than her emotions. When she has opinions, she expresses them coolly and respectfully. Having been through three Senates is crazy. With her departure we are losing a fantastic well-versed Senator.
- Second Round of Pro:
 - Stewart: I think you've always had a very calm, cool, collected spirit. I envy your ability to stay collected and interpret statutes without emotion and be such a role model in Senate. I think you have a bright future ahead of yourself. While I don't think I got to know you personally, speaking to the testaments of your friends around you I think you're an incredible individual and I applaud you.
 - Rivers: I have always been very happy, excited, and prepared to have my Bills articulated through such a defined and critical lens from Senator Little. She's really helped me shape my and figure out those 'nooks and crannies' in writing a perfect piece of legislation. I applaud her in so many ways, and I know the passion she has for these Chambers and the fairness she presents when in these Chambers and is speaking. Senator Little is always coming from a practical and ethical standpoint which makes her a model Senator.
 - Garner: I don't think there's enough praise that can be given for you. You will be a great advocate in the justice system.
 - Lessard moves to pass by **RECORDING INAUDIBLE** (01:38:23), Bell seconds.
 - No objections.
 - Resolution 32 passes by **RECORDING INAUDIBLE** (01:38:26).
- *Gabrielle Little is nominated to the Senate Hall of Fame.*

Resolution 33

Sponsored by Senator Pfeuffer-Ferguson and Senator Tsouroukdissian (P) Fronczak, DuChêne, Hunter, Diaz, Drackley, Nemeth (Co) Nominating Chair Russell for the Senate Hall of Fame.

- Opening Statement:

- This is my first piece of legislation, I always told people if I was going to write legislation it would be meaningful and bring genuine change to our campus. I can't be more proud to do that for one of my closest friends. Not only has she completed the duties of Internal Affairs Chair after being thrown into that leadership position, she was the first Chair in past five Chairs to complete the Bureau review process and brought in 18 student leaders across their respective positions on campus to ensure support. She has done all of this with the most positive attitude. She keeps a few of us in check and has served in Senate better than I could dream of. She has left a lasting legacy on campus and deserves this as such.
- First Round of Pro:
 - DuChêne: Congrats to the Sponsor for writing their first piece of legislation but Katie Russell is one of my best friends in this body and am so disappointed if I learn that she won't be here. The duties of Internal Affairs are difficult, and she has made it a point to execute every part of that position, especially Bureau Reviews as those weren't being done. She read Internal Affairs statutes to ensure sure it all gets done. She keeps everyone engaged and is a wonderful person.
 - Lessard: I have had the privilege of sitting on every committee except Budget, and Internal Affairs has been my favorite. She made Bureau Review fun and I don't think anyone would typically make it fun. Katie shows grace and doesn't take anything personally. I'm excited to see this and think it's well deserved.
 - **Lessard moves to waive the two years stipulation, Drackley seconds.**
 - **Gonzalez objects.**
 - **Lessard withdraws.**
 - Point of Parliamentary Inquiry: Bettley: If we make this motion will the people on Zoom still be able to speak, or would we still finish.
 - I suggest that from now on we have the motion and vote on waiving the stipulation prior to the start of debate.
 - Point of Parliamentary Inquiry: Gonzalez: If only four per year are inducted to the Senate Hall of Fame and this passes, no one else can be inducted in 2022?
 - Yes.
 - Point of Parliamentary Inquiry: Diaz: Former Senators can be inducted as long as have not graduated, correct?
 - Correct.
 - **DuChêne moves to waive the time stipulation, Lessard seconds**
 - **Gonzalez objects**
 - **DuChêne does not withdraw**
 - **Voting Results:**
 - **15 yes, 7 no, 5 abstentions.**
 - **Stipulation is waived.**

- Fronczak: The Senator up for this award is deserving. Being a new Senator I've always felt very welcome by her and have been a witness to her hard work and dedication in the Senate. She never fails to go above and beyond and makes sure everyone is on the same page within her Committee. She upheld promise of completing Bureau Reviews and believe she should be acknowledged for her hard work and dedication to the Senate.
- DeChick: She has reached out to the student community.
- Second Round of Pro:
 - DeChick: As we come to the future it seems an era has ended. **RECORDING INAUDIBLE** (01:56:07). I think it's important to recognize all her achievements.
 - **B. Suarez moves to call the question, Lessard seconds.**
 - **No objections.**
 - **The question is called.**
- Closing Statement:
 - I want us to reflect on everything we've said for everyone else in the Hall of Fame. She's done the work with a smile and has done it fantastic. Whether she was sitting and trying to pass Senators through the Rules Committee and speak on their behalf or meet with every single person and ensure they got through Internal Affairs comfortably. She was thrown into her position and while people made her feel she didn't belong she fought ten times harder. She has made every person enjoy Senate. This has been her space; she deserves this spot.
- Voting Results:
 - **15 yes, 8 no, 4 abstentions.**
 - **Resolution 33 fails.**

Resolution 34

Sponsored by Senator Wang (P)

Rule change outlining the process of voting on additional absences once a Senator is unsuspending in an impeachment hearing held by the Judiciary Committee.

Unfinished Business:

Impeachment Hearing: Senator Thau (Communication and Information Seat 2)

- **Rivers moves to table Senator Thau's Impeachment Hearing, Little seconds.**
 - **No objections.**
 - **The Senator Thau's Impeachment Hearing is tabled.**

Statements of Dissent: None.

New Business: None.

Closing Announcements:

- Stewart: It's my last Senate meeting, I've had the honor and privilege of serving in the 73rd and 74th Student Senates where I've learned a lot about myself and things I wanted to do in the future. I've made friends here and found support, and people who disagree which I view as positive - opposition is a great way to grow and learn about yourself. Each person in this room has immense potential and seeing my growth has brought me pride. I think so many great things can be accomplished in the rest of the 74th Student Senate and beyond. Thank you so much to every person who has supported me and been a smiling or friendly face.
- Garner: I wish all the Senators not returning well in their future endeavors and say that tomorrow (4/5) at 7:30pm in Ruby Diamond I'll be performing in my last concert this semester with the University Symphonic Bands where we'll be playing a piece called Stages in dedication to the stages of grief one has after losing someone to cancer. Incidentally tomorrow is also the two-year anniversary of my mother passing from cancer so it will be an emotional night. I would love for you all to be there.
- DuChêne: Congratulations to everyone terming out. As for the College of Fine Arts, this coming weekend is the final weekend of **RECORDING INAUDIBLE** (02:03:48) in the School of Theater, doing Shakespeare's *As You Like It*. I encourage you to visit the museum of Fine Arts which is free. The School of Dance's 'Days of Dance' is returning at the end of this month with a weekend of dance concerts that are truly mind-blowing.
- Lessard: I am terming out; this is my last Senate meeting. Senate has been one of my favorite times at Florida State University and has gotten me involved in ways I've never expected and gotten me involved in organizations I probably would have never found - I appreciate the community Senate has fostered on this campus. You all deserve to be here and were all elected here for a reason. Don't take anything in here personally, we all debate each other to better student life on campus. Always keep a positive attitude and continue to show up for the student body.
- Bowling: This is my last Senate meeting - you guys are great and have so much potential, and I'm excited to see what you do. This is not the last you'll see of me; I appreciate everyone in Senate and am beyond happy I had the opportunity to call you guys my colleagues and friends.
- Roy: There is a PAC meeting on Thursday (4/5). The College of Arts and Sciences is having an event tomorrow (4/5) at 7:00pm. History Club is also having an event at 7:00pm tomorrow (4/5), which is a club I started nearly a year ago. This is my goodbye to a lot of friends. I hope you all have a good night.
- **Rivers moves to censor Senator Stewart.**
 - **Fails as there are not four other Senators to second.**
- Little: Senate is what you put into it. I got thrown into Senate leadership, but always say 'yes' and take advantage of your opportunities. It's a frustrating experience but participate as you get out of it what you put in.

Officer Announcements:

- Historian Cofer: I know I'm distant all the way over here but to those terming out I really appreciate you and will really miss you guys. Chair Roy made me feel welcome the very first day I was here and even those not terming out I appreciate you guys.
- Parliamentarian Rowan: I have been here for over a year and a half. I have met Senator Bowling about three years ago in the basement of the Tucker Center in Boys State and I've been grateful to be able to work with him. I can only assume I'll see

him in the Florida Senate. Senator Little has been one of the strongest advocates I know. Whether it be tearing down the walls of a court room or this the walls of this Senate Chamber, Senator Little will always be a force of nature in law school. Chair Russel has been a great Internal Affairs Chair who has provided Senate with a deeply needed sense of humor and I wish you the best in your future. Senator Gonzalez has been a great friend, sounding board and amazing Senator – she is always one of my first calls when PAC needs a Senator to meet quorum and I will miss you dearly. Shoutout to Senator Tsouroukdissian – it's never too late to start writing legislation. Thank you to everyone for the time you've given. This is a time commitment, and we still have quorum. We have a set date and time for the Crash Course to be on April 12th at the Globe Dining Room. Chairs, Vice Chairs, and Senators interested in these positions please report at 6:30pm. Everyone else please be there at 7:00pm. This will be a mandatory meeting so please be at the meeting but if you cannot please give Pro Tempore an email for an excuse. Thursday morning at 1:15am the **RECORDING INAUDIBLE** (02:14:12) and myself will be going off to Williamsburg, Virginia, to compete in **RECORDING INAUDIBLE** (02:14:12). We hope to make the Senate's money worth it. Thank you and enjoy inauguration next week.

- Point of Information: DuChêne to Parliamentarian: How are the Senators being installed on Monday (4/11).
 - I believe President Hunter will be giving out that information statutory.
- Pro-Tempore Russel: To those turning out I love you guys and Internal Affairs, and so happy to have gotten to work with both of you and learn from both of you. I've loved being here and thank you guys for growing with me.
- President Myers: Come to Judiciary tomorrow (4/5). To all my friends terming out, Senate means a lot to me - you guys inspire me. Thank you for making me feel welcome.

Advisor Announcements:

Jacalyn: Great job to President Myers and Pro-Tempore Russell. This gives an awesome opportunity and sense of respect to those doing these positions. I hope you keep that in mind as we do elections for Chairs, and other positions. There are lots of things coming up so make sure you follow Dr. William's emails. If you are nominated for an award, please come to inauguration. To those leaving Senate thank you for showing up hos you had in this authentic space - change is happening. Thank you for engaging with others.

Final Roll Call:

- Refer to attendance.

Adjournment:

- **Stewart moves to adjourn, Rivers seconds.**
 - **Senate is adjourned at 10:08pm**