



**74<sup>th</sup> Student Senate  
Student Life Committee  
Thursday, 24 March 2022 at 5:00pm | Zoom ID: 937 1197 2014**

**Call to Order: 5:03 pm**

**Members Present: Barrett, DuChêne, Pardee, Soares, Stewart, Tsouroukdissian, Weintraub**

**Members Tardy:**

**Members Absent: Masters (E)**

**Guests: Vice Chair Diaz, Vice Chair Rivers, Senator Wang, Chair Gonzalez, Parliamentarian Rowan, Chair Russell, Chair Rider**

Chair DuChêne: Are there any guests wanting to make an announcement at this time? Seeing none, I will read the land acknowledgement.

**Land Acknowledgement:** The Student Government of Florida State University acknowledges that it is located on land that is the ancestral and traditional territory of the Apalachee Nation, the Miccosukee Tribe of Florida, the Muscogee Creek Nation, and the Seminole Tribe of Florida. We recognize this land remains scarred by the legacies of violence and removal, we recognize the ongoing relationships of care that these Indigenous Nations maintain with this land, and we extend our gratitude as we live and work as humble and respectful guests upon their territory. We encourage all to learn about and educate others on the contemporary work of the Indigenous Nations whose land we are on and to endeavor to support Indigenous sovereignty in all the ways that we can.

**Announcements:**

- We have a long docket today, so thank you all for coming today ready to work. Most likely, all of our meetings for the rest of the year will have a lot of legislation, however, there's less than a month left so I know we can do it.

**Committee Business:**

- **Bill #24, Bill #25, Bill #30, Bill #31, Bill #32, Bill #33, Bill #34, Bill #35, Resolution #20, Resolution #21, Resolution #22, Resolution #23, Resolution #24, Resolution #25, Resolution #26, Resolution #27**

**Old Business: Bill, #24, Bill #25**

- **BILL #24 - Sponsored by Senators Suarez, Wells (P), Senator Murray (Co)  
To allow first-year college students to represent the college of undergraduate studies despite being enrolled in their University Division.**
  - Opening Statement (5 mins): Not present
    - Chair DuChêne: Are the sponsors or co-sponsors present at this time?  
...
      - Chair DuChêne: The sponsors not being present, Bill #24 remains upon the table until Thursday March 31<sup>st</sup>, 2022, at 5:00pm
  - **RESULT: BILL #24 IS TABLED**
  
- **BILL #25 - Sponsored by Senator Rivers (P), Senators Gonzalez, Wang (Co)  
To transfer the power of Director of Homecoming Chief and Princess to Homecoming Executive Council. Also, to give Homecoming the power of planning Homecoming Chief and Princess Election.**
  - Opening Statement (5 mins):  
Rivers: Hello everyone, sorry about not showing up last week with this one. I know I apologize for not coming last week, I was asleep. I know two weeks ago or however long ago I came to you all with this bill and the big issue with it was the director being involved with the homecoming live planning committee I have withdrawn that version of the bill and have resubmitted the bill with that part excluded. I also included in the duties part that the people who are in these director parts cannot run for chief or princess during their term. If you guys have any questions, I will try to answer them. I have spoken to Homecoming and SAA about this bill already and with that I yield.
  - Technical Non-Debatable Questions:  
Stewart: What was SAA and Homecomings opinion on this bill?  
Rivers: Homecoming was thoroughly excited about this bill and said that it was something they would be excited about. I spoke to the CEO of SAA about this bill, and she did not give an opinion she just shared that it was within my senatorial rights to present this bill.
  - **Soares moves to enter round-table discussion; Tsouroukdissian seconds**
  - Round-Table Discussion:
  - **Stewart has a Point of information to the sponsor**
  - Stewart: I was wondering if you could describe the premise of this bill and the purpose of the bill from your standpoint and opinion, please?
  - Rivers: The controversy that surrounded the Homecoming court and the selection of the homecoming court in the fall. Many students raised the concern of there being no black male representative on the homecoming council. The current advisor of SAA said that she did not see that be as big a deal to her. This

exclusion of black men on the homecoming court was very disheartening to many students. Many did not feel heard or seen, especially as there was many strong candidates who applied for the role.

- Stewart: Ok, I apologize, I guess what I was asking was a little beyond that. It was more of what is this bill in the language that it is currently written actually going to do?
- Rivers: This bill is transferring the power of the election and selection of candidates over to Homecoming which will be under the SGA umbrella which is then able to be dealt with and issues alleviated with that are not being able to currently be dealt with because they are under SAA, and they are a DSO and sometimes do and sometimes don't have to answer to the student body about certain things. Same thing with the voting results like before that bill they technically didn't have to do anything because they are independently operating. Nobody really knows how this process is looking in its current state. SAA is using many factors to determine the court at this point in time. Especially as they are letting Alumni help in this election process of who is on the council which is something egregious that should not be happening.
- Stewart: Have you spoken to Homecoming about what their process would be for homecoming court selection?
- Rivers: No, I did not have the opportunity to talk with her about that. I did however have that conversation with her about how this would be a tedious process and not an easy process. But she did assure me that this would be something that they would be willing to undergo, acknowledging that this may not be able to happen as quickly as this fall. This may be something that will happen in a year from now so that they can work on it.
- Soares: I just feel like, if this bill is going to be passed that they should have a plan for how that are going to do it. But if they are down to come up with a plan then I think that its ok.
- Stewart: I agree, if this bill is really just changing who is going to essentially be creating this election, then you are just essentially transferring power and I don't really see how without a specific plan of action in mind that the same mistakes won't just happen again with a new homecoming director.
- **Stewart moves to call the question; Soares seconds**
- Closing Statement:
- Rivers: I just want to hit on two different things. The way that this election works that since this would be under the SGA umbrella it would be an SGA election. It would run similar to the fall and spring elections and if the issue is that homecoming wouldn't have a plan by the fall then a proviso could be added to this saying that this is something that could be implemented in the 2023-24 school year. This could be something that is bound by election code. They could even coordinate with SAA to ask them how they had done this.

- Vote:
  - Yes: **4** Voter Names: Pardee, Soares, Tsouroukdissian, Weintraub
  - No: **2** Voter Names: Stewart, Barrett
  - Abstain: **0**
- **RESULT: BILL #25 PASSES**

**New Business: Bill #30, Bill #31, Bill #32, Bill #33, Bill #34, Bill #35, Resolution #20, Resolution #21, Resolution #22, Resolution #23, Resolution #24, Resolution #25, Resolution #26, Resolution #27**

- **BILL #30 - Sponsored by Senators Gonzalez, Wang (P)**  
**A bill to grant the Finance Committee the authority to place limitations on funding SGA entities or Registered Student Organizations that commit egregious violations of the Finance Code and to make minor adjustments to the process for the restriction of funds.**
  - Opening Statement (5 mins):  
Wang: Hey SL, I'm not going to take up to much of your time, you have heard this about 50 times at this point. The main points I want to drive home with this bill are just that we can only restrict funding or impose sanctions because we cannot have these RSO's, or organizations pay fines like the state government or federal government does. This is why we need this kind of oversight, it's just to make sure that everyone is following their statutes. I think the narrative this year has been, let's give the organizations what they want and let's be respectful to them and let them run free. I think that is a dangerous narrative. We should be there to check to make sure they are following their statutes. Statutes have been written, constitution has been written, they are things that need to be followed as they are our governing documents. This gives us the power to just have a bit of oversight and to just shift that narrative back to, these organizations should be following statutes.
  - Technical Non-Debatable Questions:
  - **Tsouroukdissian moves to enter round-table discussion, Soares seconds**
  - Round-Table Discussion:
  - Tsouroukdissian: This is just a general question for anyone. Why was this sent to student life again?
  - DuChêne: In the opinion of President Hunter, the restriction of funds for any organization on campus effects student life in some way.
- **Stewart has a point of information to the sponsor**
  - Stewart: What would be considered an egregious violation or something that would be appropriate to have restrictions placed on an RSO for a year?

- Wang: I can't speak to what a really bad violation may be to where that warrants a year but once again the language says for up to one year. It doesn't even have to be a full restriction of funding. For example, we could say that we are giving campus rec a million less dollars for the next fiscal year. That could be an example of what kind of restriction we could place. But to answer your question, a violation we have already been seeing is the campus rec executive council which allocates all that money that we give to campus rec each year for their 30+ sports clubs, something that I mentioned last night as well. They have not been going through the correct processes to approve their allocations. Usually, it has to go through student body treasurer and Laurel McKinney, and they have not been approving any of those and they have not been sending any of their receipts to them, we have no idea what is going on or what they are spending. We don't even know how much money is left in their account.
- Tsouroukdissian: So, my biggest thing is, I hate how ambiguous that is. I like the intent of this bill but it's just the open endedness of what is egregious.
- Stewart: I agree, and that's kind of what I thought to except for the portion that they have that requires the vote by the Finance Committee. I'm not going to lie; I was fully against this bill at the beginning. But, after learning more I have not been fully swayed, as I do still have hesitations, but that part has worried me less. But I do think that the protection of having to have a vote will help that. If it passed and has terrible repercussions, it can be changed back.

**Wang has a point of clarification**

- Wang: I don't think it is listed in the bill, but in the section of statute that we are changing there is a list of possible violations that could be occurring.

**Stewart moves to make an amendment on 805.3 Section C to change "one year" to "one fiscal year." Tsouroukdissian seconds. The sponsor finds the amendment unfriendly. The sponsor does not withdraw the amendment.**

- Opening statement:  
Stewart: this is an exact amendment that was brought up last night on the senate floor. I do think that making it a fiscal year will make it simpler for the potential executive boards of RSO's as far as a school year goes. For example, if an egregious violation was committed in December, then the RSO is suspended for a full year meaning that with the transition of an executive board there could still be restrictions which I do not think is necessarily appropriate. A fiscal year is usually more in line with the school year. With that I yield.

**First round of pro: None**

**First round of con: None**

**Second round of pro: None**

**Second round of Con:**

**Soares motions to allow a non-committee member, Chair**

**Gonzalez, to speak; Tsouroukdissian seconds**

- Gonzalez: I just want to say that this was not proposed on the floor although we did talk about it through points of information. This reason that I was against it is

because if you suspend for one fiscal year technically if you are in the middle of a fiscal year then you are suspending them for more than one calendar year.

#### **Stewart withdraws amendment**

**Stewart moves to make an amendment on 805.3 section C to change “one year” to read “no longer than the remainder of the current fiscal year.”**

**Soares seconds. The sponsor does not find the amendment friendly.**

- Opening Statement:  
Stewart: So, this is a little bit more congruent with what senator Gonzalez just spoke about. Instead of being suspended for the entire future fiscal year, it would be the current executive board being reprimanded for their actions for up to the remainder of the current fiscal year. For example, if in December there was an egregious violation committed then the funding would be taken away from December to July 1<sup>st</sup> when the new fiscal year then funding would be withdrawn for that time. That would make it, so the new executive board was not punished. Yields.

#### **First round of Pro**

- Soares: I agree with what the previous senator just said. I think it would be more right to reprimand the executive board that made the mistakes instead of the future leaders. And I think that with the future leaders seeing the actions taken against the previous executive board would show them how to act.
- Tsouroukdissian: I saw the flaw with the first amendment right after senator Gonzalez points it out. I feel like this fixes that. As of now, I am for it.

#### **First round of Con**

- Gonzalez: Ok so I understand the intent of this amendment, but I don't think it will do what you all want it to do. This is because some executive boards do not switch on the same schedules that fiscal years do. SAA has an executive board that switches in December. This means that an Executive board if given a one-year sentence would still have half a fiscal year without repercussions.

#### **Second round of Pro**

- Tsouroukdissian: I understand what the previous senator said that it could be a problem, but it depends on how you look at it. If reprimanded in the spring it would be a pretty big warning to not do it again in the fall assuming that it was just a mistake.

#### **Second round of con**

- Gonzalez: To kind of respond, I do get that but because the threshold to pass the sanctions are so high if either the finance committee or the senate deems it as just a mistake, I don't think that the sanctions would even be applied.

#### **Time is extinguished**

- Closing statement:  
Stewart: So, hearing the sentiments of the previous senators I do understand where concern may lie. However, I really do believe that if

there was an executive board that was elected in the spring committing such an egregious violation that they would get reprimanded for it, that would be a pretty telltale sign that things need to be fixed. I do not think that my amendment really causes an issue with that, I feel like it makes it a lot more far and is a little bit more forgiving while still holding accountability. I really hope you vote yes on this amendment as I think it will be really agreed upon when it hits the senate floor.

- Vote:
  - Yes: **6** Voter Names: Barrett, Stewart, Pardee, Soares, Tsouroukdissian, Weintraub
  - No: **0**
  - Abstain: **0**
  - **Result: The amendment passes and is adopted**

**Stewart moves to propose an amendment to 805.4 to add a D that reads “The finance Committee shall provide at least 48 hours of written notice to the entities prior to meeting in which the restriction resolution will be debated and provide notice to the entity as to the time and location of the following student meeting if the restriction resolution passes the finance committee”. Barrett Seconds. The sponsors find the amendment friendly. The amendment is adopted.**

**Barrett makes a POI to the sponsors**

- Barrett: I was wondering if you all have reached out to the student body president about this bill coming back with revisions?
- Wang: Yes, we have reached out but have not gotten a response at this time.
- **Stewart moves to call to question; Soares seconds**
- Closing Statement:
- Wang: Once again, thank you all for being here and listening to this bill. I appreciate the efforts to make amendments and for working with us on this. This is just something that we thought of in our investigations of organizations on campus that are committing violations of the finance code currently. This is just something that needs to be put in place for us to do our jobs.
- Vote:
  - Yes: **4** Voter Names: Stewart, Tsouroukdissian, Soares, Barrett
  - No: **0**
  - Abstain: **2** Voter Names: Pardee, Weintraub
- **RESULT: BILL #30 PASSES**

- **BILL #31 - Sponsored by Senator Rivers (P), Senator Hockett (Co)**  
**To make it so that majority of the incoming Senior class are able to vote on the incoming Senior Class Council.**
  - Opening Statement (5 mins):  
Rivers: Hello again. As it currently stands, as we all know senior class council elections happen during the spring. The people that are running for senior class council in the spring are set to go into their roles in the next fall. As it stands now, only current seniors can vote in the spring for senior class council. That was a problem that arose for both sides this past election cycle because a lot of people did not know that juniors do not vote, and a lot of people were under the assumption that juniors would be the ones voting. Especially considering many people who were running for these positions are currently academic Juniors and most people would not become academic seniors until the summer or the fall after the spring election. So, as it stands now, the majority of people who are voting right now are seniors who are leaving and who would have nothing to do with the incoming senior class council and who the incoming senior class council would have no effect upon. Therefore they should not be the ones who are deciding who is coming into these positions in the fall. If anything, with any election, just like with senate, only people who are in the college of business can vote for people in the college of business. So, with this, we do not need people who are leaving FSU to depict who is running certain areas of FSU after their departure. It should be students who are here. I am extremely open to comments, questions, and concerns.
  - Technical Non-Debatable Questions:
    - Stewart: Is the junior class identified primarily by the academic standing or simply being a third-year student at the university?
    - Rivers: It is defined as the Academic standing. So how many credit hours you currently have. Which is why I included the part “a majority of” the incoming class would still be academic juniors at the point of spring elections.
    - DuChêne: So, sponsor, I am a third-year student, so I consider myself a junior although I am an academic Senior, so I was able to vote for senior class council. Under this statutory change, I would no longer be able to vote?
    - Rivers: Correct
  - **Tsouroukdissian moves to enter round-table discussion; Stewart seconds**
  - Round-Table Discussion:
    - Tsouroukdissian: I am a pretty big fan of this, it makes perfect sense. But I am going to make a quick comment. I am a fifth year because I am an engineering major. Individuals like me have two senior years. I think it makes perfect sense that Juniors should vote but those 4<sup>th</sup> year seniors will see them because they will have a 5<sup>th</sup> year.





as being a good thing, its only once a month, and they can just talk about what they have done, and what they are going to do. I know we already have a section on the calendar for class councils but there is no need for them to come, they don't have to come, and they typically do not come. I just feel like this would be more efficient for them to come as we do want for things to run more efficiently and smooth and this would make things more efficient and transparent.

- Technical Non-Debatable Questions:
- **Tsouroukdissian moves to enter round-table discussion; Stewart seconds**
- Round-Table Discussion:
- **Stewart moves to make an amendment to 302.5 A. 7. to change Senate to the “student senate.” Tsouroukdissian seconds. Sponsor finds it friendly.**
- **Stewart motions to pass by Unanimous consent. No objections.**
- **RESULT: BILL #33 PASSES**
- **BILL #34 - Sponsored by Senator Tsouroukdissian (P)  
To purchase a second water bottle filling station for the College of Engineering campus.**
  - Opening Statement (5 mins):
  - Tsouroukdissian: Hello, I always come with such wild pieces of legislation, but this is pretty straightforward. At the moment there is only one water bottle filling station \*hand demonstration showing how they work\* in the whole campus of the college of engineering when it is already far away and its not like you can just walk to another campus and use theirs. I thought about it because the only one was down for a few days and their was nowhere also to go and I just thought that was crazy. It is on the second floor and there are two buildings and there is only one. So, I spoke with the director of facilities at the College and then it took a few weeks, but we got a contractor to come out and we got a few quotes. One was to put in the other building which was like double the price and then another quote which I went with the cheapest, to put in the same building on the first floor. Its nice cause it's a high traffic area, where a lot of people study, but this makes the campus more sustainable and second of all, more ADA accessible. Someone in a wheelchair would have to go all the way to the elevator, go all the way up, fill up their water bottle, and then come all the way down. So, I spoke with him, got the contractors to come in, they are on standby, and I know its half of senate projects, but I think this is really beneficial to the campus. With that, I yield.
  - Technical Non-Debatable Questions:
  - **Stewart moves to enter round-table discussion, Weintraub seconds**
  - Round-Table Discussion:

- **DuChêne has a POI to the sponsor**
- DuChêne: Does this include Installation?
- Tsouroukdissian: Yes, everything. I'm going to send the quote pdf to the GroupMe. It includes everything liability, plumbing.
- **Barrett moves to allow a non-committee member, Senator Diaz, to speak. Soares seconds.**
- Diaz: Thank you. I know that this isn't budget or finance its student life. But I know that we have had conversations in the past about taking money from senate projects and I know that there is not a lot left in it and some of it has to go to other expenditures that senate projects has to pay for. So, I know that the parliamentarian has some comments on that but I just want to put that out there that if this hasn't been spoken about with the senate president then we should probably figure that out before passing this.
- DuChêne: Are you referring to the picnic table outside of Rovetta?
- Diaz: Just in general, I know that there are other expenditures that have to be put into senate projects.
- DuChêne: Like what? Do you know?
- Diaz: I am sure parliamentarian can answer that but things like inauguration?
- Rowan: I would like to thank you for the fair warning, or lack thereof. I am having to see if I can find the budget bill because I do not know.
- Stewart: I am pretty sure that senate takes care of fall inauguration and Exec takes care of Spring.
- Rowan: Yes.
- DuChêne: Yeah, I do not necessarily think we should worry about this right now. And remember to approach all legislation from the lens of student life.
- Stewart: I do just want to add a quick little sentiment that while we are approaching the end of the current fiscal year, it is rather appropriate that funding and senate projects money is on a first come, first serve basis and if we are seeing this bill before any other ones its pretty fair to fund it unless there is a standing reason that this is a bad bill.
- Barrett: I agree with the sentiments of the previous senator as well as what the chair has said about approaching things through the lens of student life. I like that the sponsor of this bill has thought about how this bill would affect students. It is a very interesting and particular problem which me and the other senators probably never would have seen as we are not engineering majors. So, I do think

that this is a really interesting bill interesting idea and that it would very much benefit many students lives.

- **Soares moves to call the question; Stewart seconds**
- Closing Statement:
- Tsouroukdissian: I said everything that I wanted to say. If you want to speak to me later I can answer more questions. I would have loved to do the water bottle filler in the other building, but it was much more expensive and much more work. In Building B they would have to tear up the drywall and put whole new electrical units. I had one more thing to say that I couldn't but um I did the calculations for the senate projects and there was only one more thing which was the Rovetta transportation costs and that is \$1400 and with that and this there would still be money left in senate projects. With that, I yield.
- Vote:
  - Yes: **6** Voter Names: Pardee, Soares, Stewart, Tsouroukdissian, Weintraub, Barrett
  - No: **0**
  - Abstain: **0**
- **RESULT: BILL #34 PASSES**
- **BILL #35 - Sponsored by Senator Beall (P), Senator Hunter (Co)**  
**To complete funding for Bill 88 that was paused in the 73<sup>rd</sup> senate to install one large picnic bench outside of the Rovetta building. The amount listed would be used to cover freight and installation costs of the table.**
  - Opening Statement (5 mins):
    - DuChêne: Are the sponsors or co-sponsors present at this time?  
...
    - DuChêne: The sponsors not being present, the bill is placed upon the table until Thursday March 31<sup>st</sup>, 2022, at 5:00pm
  - **RESULT: BILL #35 IS TABLED**
- **RESOLUTION #20 - Sponsored by Senators Russell, Diaz (P), Senators Lessard, Hautrive, Fronczak, Pfeuffer-Ferguson, Barberis, Downing, Drackley (Co)**  
**The Internal Affairs Committee's Bureau review recommendations for the Office of Governmental Affairs.**
  - Opening Statement (5 mins):
  - Diaz: Hello student life, I really do not want to take up too much of your time. I am just going to basically read off the minutes of the general summary of what IA's open was. Again, there are 7 resolutions on the table as the chair just mentioned. 6 of those are saying that we should keep the bureaus, just one is saying that we should maybe consider getting rid of them from statute. Again, these should not be very controversial. Statutes say that these resolutions should be the opinion of

IA, so we are sharing the opinion of IA and we in general hope that you support us in being able to express our opinions about these bureaus because we had to sit through so many of these bureau review investigations. So with respect to OGA, again I'm just reading from our minutes it says "after deliberations noted that it supports OGA's expansion of events to include a day at city hall". So OGA was essentially saying they wanted to have local government more represented in their events and we recommended that OGA expand its on campus presence to includes more on campus events that educate the student body on local government and encourage the students to get involved in their community around them and IA also recommended that OGA continue in outreach with the student senate to potentially determine amendments to the composition of the bureau. As they have mentioned different issues about wanting perhaps deputies that are not senate confirmed and that failed a couple months ago. So, if they still have any reservations or if they want to have any changes to the composition of the board of OGA then they should continue in reaching out to us. That is the general opinion of IA and that's all I have for OGA.

- Technical Non-Debatable Questions:
- **Barrett moves to enter round-table discussion; Tsouroukdissian seconds**
- Round-Table Discussion:
- **Tsouroukdissian moves to pass by unanimous consent. No objections.**
- **Barrett moves to Amend the two instances of International Affairs committee to read Internal Affairs committee. Tsouroukdissian seconds. Author finds it friendly. Amendment is adopted.**
- DuChêne: Slay. Put that in the minutes.
- **Stewart moves to pass by unanimous consent. No objections.**
- Closing Statement:
- Diaz: Waive close, thank you.
- **RESULT: RESOLUTION #20 PASSES**
- **RESOLUTION #21 - Sponsored by Senators Russell, Diaz (P), Senators Lessard, Hautrive, Fronczak, Pfeuffer-Ferguson, Barberis, Downing, Drackley (Co)  
The Internal Affairs Committee's Bureau review recommendations for the Inter-Residence Hall Council.**
  - Opening Statement (5 mins):
  - Diaz: Our minutes say after deliberations the committee resolved that the IRHC is operating efficiently and did not provide any additional recommendations, they are doing great work. With that, I yield.
  - Technical Non-Debatable Questions:

- **Tsouroukdissian moves to enter round-table discussion; Barrett seconds**
- Round-Table Discussion:
- Tsouroukdissian: Does anyone have anything to say?
- **Tsouroukdissian moves to pass by unanimous consent. No objections.**
- Closing Statement:
- Diaz: Waive close.
- **RESULT: RESOLUTION #21 PASSES**
- **RESOLUTION #22 - Sponsored by Senators Russell, Diaz (P), Senators Lessard, Hautrive, Fronczak, Pfeuffer-Ferguson, Barberis, Downing, Drackley (Co)  
The Internal Affairs Committee's Bureau review recommendations for the Student Council for Accessibility and Advocacy.**
  - Opening Statement (5 mins):
  - Diaz: After deliberations the committee recommended that SCAA expand their efforts to advertise vacant E-board positions and improve their relationship with the office of accessibility services to facilitate administrative support for the bureau. I think this is the bureau that we had some issues with because they don't have a lot of e-board positions filled, I think its only 2 or 3 of them. So essentially, we are saying that they should continue with outreach, and we said IA determined that SCAA should continue to exist and continue having conversations about their operations with the executive branch. With that, I wave.
  - Technical Non-Debatable Questions:
  - **Barrett moves to enter round-table discussion; Soares seconds**
  - Round-Table Discussion:
  - Tsouroukdissian: Is there a formatting issue at the bottom?
  - DuChêne: There are a few formatting issues that will be resolved later. I do not know what is going on there, I will deal with it later.
  - **Stewart moves pass by unanimous consent. No objections.**
  - Closing Statement:
  - Diaz: Before we keep going, I just want to apologize; it is very difficult to submit 7 resolutions and to do them all in one night. Oh hi, Chair Russell is here. So, if there are any formatting issues, I apologize for those. Waive close.

- DuChêne: Chair Russell, do you want to contribute to this closing?
- Russell: Can I just say something when you are done with the closing, just like in general if that's allowed.
- DuChêne: One of my members can make a motion to allow that, which I'm sure one would be happy to do.
- Russell: I waive.
- **RESULT: RESOLUTION #22 PASSES**
- **Soares moves to allow a non-committee member, Chair Russell, to speak. Stewart Seconds.**
- Russell: Hey guys, so sorry about writing international affairs on the resolution. I am mortified and embarrassed but also this is not just my fault because I wrote this in front of my committee, and nobody said anything. Thank you, guys, for being here and hearing all of them. I am not sure what number you all are on but, yeah, I am really sorry and embarrassed and am the dumbest person in the senate right now.
- **RESOLUTION #23 - Sponsored by Senators Russell, Diaz (P), Senators Lessard, Hautrive, Fronczak, Pfeuffer-Ferguson, Barberis, Downing, Drackley (Co) The Internal Affairs Committee's Bureau review recommendations for the Mental Health Council.**
  - Opening Statement (5 mins):
  - Diaz: Hello once again. The mental health council was a very good presentation. Our minutes say that after deliberations IA resolved that is satisfied with the operations of the mental health council and supports the bureaus efforts to expand its operations and programming to increase engagement. They said they want to put on more events. The committee recommended that mental health council continue its outreach with the senate and their liaison to facilitate statute revisions as they stated they would like to do. I yield my time.
  - Technical Non-Debatable Questions:
  - **Tsouroukdissian moves to enter round-table discussion; Stewart seconds**
  - Round-Table Discussion:
  - Tsouroukdissian: Does anyone have anything they want to say?
  - **Tsouroukdissian moves to pass by unanimous consent. No objections.**
  - Closing Statement:

Diaz: I don't have any comments other than I guess we could write a note to Pro Tempore Nemeth so that she can do the updating with the formatting, I'd be very open to that.

- **RESULT: RESOLUTION #23 PASSES**
- **RESOLUTION #24 - Sponsored by Senators Russell, Diaz (P), Senators Lessard, Hautrive, Fronczak, Pfeuffer-Ferguson, Barberis, Downing, Drackley (Co)  
The Internal Affairs Committee's Bureau review recommendations for the Student Council for Undergraduate Research and Creativity.**
  - Opening Statement (5 mins):
  - Diaz: Ok so we had issues with SCURC because they didn't check their emails and we tried for 3 weeks maybe a month to get them to even show up. So, we said after our recommendations that the bureau prioritize communication with the senate and their liaison to work towards updating their statutes to streamline efficiency and e-board transition procedures. We also resolved that SCURC should be attentive to emails specifically by checking their public email address more often and ensuring that smooth e-board transitions take place so that email communication doesn't get lost in transition. Basically, saying that the old director or whoever had access to the email didn't give the password or didn't give access to the new director. We didn't think that was enough to disband them or get rid of SCURC our opinion is just to have them improve their communication. With that, I yield my time.
  - Technical Non-Debatable Questions:
  - **Tsouroukdissian moves to enter round-table discussion; Soares seconds**
  - Round-Table Discussion:
  - Tsouroukdissian: Does anyone have anything to say?
  - **Tsouroukdissian moves to pass by unanimous consent. No objections.**
  - Closing Statement:

Diaz: Waive close.
  - **RESULT: RESOLUTION #24 PASSES**
- **RESOLUTION #26 - Sponsored by Senators Russell, Diaz (P), Senators Lessard, Hautrive, Fronczak, Pfeuffer-Ferguson, Barberis, Downing, Drackley (Co)  
The Internal Affairs Committee's Bureau review recommendations for the Center for be Participant Education**
  - Opening Statement (5 mins):
  - Diaz: Minutes say that after deliberations IA resolved that the committee has potential to grow and expand its operations and that the bureau requests funding from senate funding boards and/or senate or executive project funds if needed to



fulfill its goals as it was not allocated any funds in budget bill 2022. The committee also recommended that the executive board of SGA expand its advertising efforts for CPE leadership. Essentially, they don't have a lot of confirmed e-board members and they weren't allocated any money in their budget so were essentially saying that if you guys want money to do your events, go to Pac, RTAC, go to senate or executive projects. We resolved that they should continue to exist.

- Technical Non-Debatable Questions:
- **Tsouroukdissian moves to enter round-table discussion; Stewart seconds**
- Round-Table Discussion:
- Tsouroukdissian: Does anyone have anything to say?
- **Tsouroukdissian moves to pass by unanimous consent. No objections.**
- Closing Statement:
- Diaz: wave, closed.
- **RESULT: RESOLUTION #26 PASSES**
- Tsouroukdissian: Real quick, did we skip bill #25?
- DuChêne: Yes, we did. I am sorry, I wrote my notes on the wrong number.
- **RESOLUTION #25 - Sponsored by Senators Russell, Diaz (P), Senators Lessard, Hautrive, Fronczak, Pfeuffer-Ferguson, Barberis, Downing, Drackley (Co)  
The Internal Affairs Committee's Bureau review recommendations for the Office of Student Sustainability.**
  - Opening Statement (5 mins):
  - Diaz: Ok friends if there is any resolution that we might want your input on, it would be this one. After deliberations the IA committee resolved that due to the fact that OSS does not have any active e-board members and the fact that its missions and goals could be fulfilled by other, more active RSO's, the bureau does not add value to the student life at Florida State University. The committee also noted that it is well within the right of the student senate to reinstate the bureau if it is determined that enough student engagement exists to justify its existence. IA determined that OSS should be removed from student body statutes and will be discussing the process for introducing how to do so in the near future. Obviously, we would like your input on that and am just letting you guys know that they don't have any e-board members, they didn't have a presentation, we checked their website, and it was very out of date, a lot of what they do can be done and is being done by much more active RSO's. So, it was our committee's recommendation that they just be taken off from statutes. I would love to take any questions if you have them.

- Technical Non-Debatable Questions:
- Stewart: I was wondering if there have been any open applications on the SGA Website for any positions, or have you contacted the Chief of Staff?
- Diaz: we have not contacted the chief of staff specifically. If you look at the website, Student Sustainability is not on the application list, it's just not even there.
- **Tsouroukdissian moves to enter round-table discussion; Stewart seconds**
- Round-Table Discussion:
- Tsouroukdissian: In my opinion, I think that I'm pro for this because if in the near future someone wants to bring this back they can. Does anyone else have anything they want to say?
- Stewart: I agree. If there was any kind of application or desire for this to still be around there would probably be a little bit more conversation about it but in my entire time at FSU, I have not heard of this which granted hasn't even been that long it's only been 2 years.
- **Tsouroukdissian moves to pass by unanimous consent. DuChêne asks that we take a vote instead.**
- **Barrett asks a POI to the sponsor**
- Barrett: What are the specifically listed things that the office of student sustainability is supposed to tackle?
- Diaz: I can pull up statutes. It just says OSS should connect other students with community-based organizations that fit their interests pertaining to sustainability. I can link the specific statute if you want to read it. Technically since the bureau doesn't even exist it is violating statutes, so why even put it in.
- Tsouroukdissian: You should have stated with that in the opening.
- **Tsouroukdissian moves to call to question; Soares seconds.**
- Closing Statement:
- Diaz: Just really quickly, I wanted to note that obviously to remove it from statutes we would need a bill and I would assume and I'm sure chair Russell would agree that we would most likely be doing outreach with the student body president to ensure that that is what we want to do because at the end of the day they would have to sign off on the bill. This vote is not final or binding in terms of removal.
- Vote:
  - Yes: **6** Voter Names: Pardee, Soares, Stewart, Tsouroukdissian, Weintraub, Barrett
  - No: **0**

■ Abstain: 0

- **RESULT: RESOLUTION #26 PASSES**
- **Chair DuChêne recognizes Senator Diaz**
- Diaz: I need to clear the legality of this resolution with Dr. Williams and Jacquelyn specifically as it pertains to FERPA so I would ask that the committee table this until I have those conversations with them next week.
- **RESOLUTION #27 - Sponsored by Senators Diaz, Suarez (P), Senators Russell, Downing, Lessard, Barberis, Fronczak, Wells (Co)  
Proposing amendments to the Student Government application that would clarify a question pertaining to applicants' academic classification.**
  - **Tsouroukdissian has a POI to the sponsor**
  - Tsouroukdissian: Is there a reason why you didn't put the vice president on the copy?
  - Diaz: No reason.
- **Barrett moves to table the resolution. Stewart Seconds.**
- **RESULT: RESOLUTION #27 IS TABLED**

**Unfinished Business:**

- None

**Closing Announcements:**

- Stewart: I know this may come to a little bit of an uncomfortable kind of vibe, but I do want the record to be stated that when senator Rivers was on the call speaking about the bill pertaining to the Senior class council, he did say that he had spoken with senior class council and kind of alluded to the idea that it was a lot of their idea. This does not change my opinion about the bill, or my vote, but I do want to say that I have since communicated the current senior class council elect which is who he specified, and they had not had any kind of conversation with him and did not know anything about the bill and also clarified that the current senior class council had no idea. Maybe if there was a possibility of a mix up there. I would just like the record to be stated that I will be bringing this to administration as this was a prevalent issue that we have had before, and I would really like for it to be stopped. We did have about an hour and a half long conversation about this last night and I do not think it is an appropriate behavior for a senator to be basically saying that someone else that was affected by the bill, endorsed the bill without having actual communication with them.
- Russell: thank you guys again for hearing everything and just dealing with all of my formatting mistakes that I did not catch. I am so sorry that wasted some of yall's time.
- Tsouroukdissian: Bouncing off of what senator Stewart said, when those things happen or you think that it's going to happen, the people affected you should try and get them to come. This is just in general, me venting. I am personally going to try and get that

facilities director to come even though he has a real adult job and leaves at 5. He is the one who helped me, and it helps.

- Stewart: Yea, this was totally accidental. I just happened to be texting the Senior class council elect, Bruce, about something else that pertained to SGA, and I told him that the bill passed, and he didn't know what I was talking about, and the conversation came from that.
- DuChêne: There is less than a month left of school, so let's try to finish strong! RIP Senator Tsouroukdissian, who is terming out.

**Next Meeting:** Thursday, 31 March 2022 at 5:00pm

**Adjourned: 6:45 pm**



---

**Signature of Chair, Patrick A. DuChêne**