



**73<sup>rd</sup> Student Senate  
Judiciary Committee  
Date: March 10, 2021**

**Zoom Recording:**

[https://drive.google.com/file/d/1NkeINyWV3x2Yg3qxnUNaxKGriCsSR\\_Lg/view?usp=sharing](https://drive.google.com/file/d/1NkeINyWV3x2Yg3qxnUNaxKGriCsSR_Lg/view?usp=sharing)

**Call to Order: 5:06**

**Members Present:** Chair Little, Vice Chair Boole, Senator(s) Arutt, Murica, Linsky, Bergen, Daraldik

**Members Tardy:** Senator(s)

**Members Excused Absent:** Senator(s)

**Members Absent:** Senator(s) Lewis

**Guests:** Senator(s) Soto, Marcus

**Announcements:**

- Chair - We have quite of few things on the docket today, thanks for showing up today at the unexpected time.
- Vice Chair - None.
- Members - None.
- Guests -
- Marcus: I have been working hard to change the names of Golden tribe to golden torch. If I were to write a bill to change the name of Golden Tribe, Judiciary has the power to rename in consent resolutions. --(reads off specific statement) -- I have been working hard to change the names of FSU's cultural appropriation. It is tiring to have to fight to change all these offensive names.

**Committee Business:**

- **Bill 3** - Sponsored by Villacorta - Updating the list of major offices to preserve checks and balances and address conflicts of interest.
- **Bill 18** - Sponsored by Soto - To further promote gender identity inclusion and preferred names in SGA elections.
- **Bill 19** - Sponsored by Linsky and Stinson - To elucidate the legislative subpoena powers vested in the Senate by the Student Body Constitution, subject to limited oversight by University General Counsels.
- **Bill 20** - Sponsored by Boole - To implement an instant runoff voting method for non-executive branch elections.
- **Bill 22** - Sponsored by Marcus and Hunter - To ban the purchase and use of disposable plastic water bottles with A&S Monies.

- **Constitutional Amendment 7** - Sponsored by Linsky - To provide procedural clarity for when the Chief Justice of the Student Supreme Court vacates office, expectedly or otherwise.
- **Constitutional Amendment 8** - Sponsored by Boole - Changes cabinet positions from appointed to elected.

### Old Business:

**Bill 3** - Sponsor not present - **Tabled**

### New Business:

#### Bill 18 -

- Opening Statement:
  - Soto: This bill is just a revision of the elections code. Currently SGA promotes “deadnaming” and that's just really disrespectful
  - Marcus: I think this helps increase our accessibility for students.
- Technical non-debatable:
  - Boole: Have you contacted the administration about this, and potential legal issues?
  - Soto: No, because I feel like I can respond to this.
  - **Linsky: move to enter round table**
  - **Arutt: seconded**
- Round table:
  - Linsky: I am glad that senator soto has
  - Daraldik: This is not an issue I was aware of. I am glad that senator soto has done this research.
  - **Daraldik: Move to call the question**
  - **Arutt: seconded**
- Closing:
  - Soto: When I registered for elections I was dead named and if SGA wants to be inclusive then this is a great bill.
- Vote:
  - **Y(Arutt, Murica, Linsky, Bergen, Daraldik, Boole) N (x) A (x)**
  - **Bill Passes**

#### Bill 19 -

- Opening Statement:
  - Linsky: The reason for this legislation was the questions brought up in the impeachment trial. There are a lot of issues and problems that come up in the subpoena system.
- Technical non-debatable:
  - None
  - **Daraldik: Move to enter round table**
  - **Boole: Seconded**
- Round table:
  - Daraldik: What exactly are you elucidating. I am still a bit confused after looking over the bill. What is the new process?
  - Linsky: The investigative board was formed, a subpoena was sent without signature. In the new process everything is the same as enumerated, but now if goes to a third party. It also adds all the normal legal requirements that

subpoenas. The names, reason, and why subpoenas. If approved by the third party it must be approved by a majority vote in the general senate.

- Boole: I like this bill I think it provides more riguro and that rigour will make people take subpoenas more seriously
- Boole: Move to call the question
- Murcia: Seconded
- Closing:
  - Linsky: Thank you for your time
- Vote:
  - **Y(Arutt, Murcia, Linsky, Bergen, Boole) N (x) A (Daraldik)**
  - **Bill passes**

**Move to unlock the calendar**

**Seconded**

**Daraldik: Move to resume to orders of the day**

**Murica: Seconded**

**Bergen: Move to unlock the calendar to go to Legislative roundtable**

**Daraldik: Seconded**

**Bill 20 -**

- Opening Statement:
  - Boole: this is similar to the bill that we talked about before but it has changed a few minor errors and change it to only applying to non-executive elections.
- Technical non-debatable:
  - None.
  - **Murica: move to enter roundtable**
  - **Linsky: Seconded**
- Round table:
  - Linsky: we voted to affirm this before, it's better now.
  - **Linsky: Move to call the question**
  - **Murcia: Seconded**
- Closing:
  - Boole: Thank you.
- Vote:
  - **Y(Arutt, Murcia, Linsky, Bergen, Daraldik, Boole) N (x) A (x)**
  - **Bill Passes**

**Bill 22 -**

- **Boole: Move to table**
- **Linsky: Seconded**

**CA 7 -**

- Opening Statement:
  - Linsky: As you all recall when we had the first impeachment hearing with the issues of judicial appointments. How can the Chief justice appoint a new Chief justice when they are no longer the chief justice. This bill amends that section of the constitution
- Technical non-debatable:
  - None
  - **Boole: Move to enter roundtable**
  - **Murcia: Seconded**
- Round table:

- Boole: I first would like to commend Senator Linsky has addresses one of many issues found in statutes during the impeachment
- Murcia: I think this is a well throughout legislation
- **Murcia: Move to call the question.**
- **Arutt: Seconded**
- Closing:
  - Linsky: I thank you for looking at this amendment. It might need to be amended and I hope that any holes will be found on the senate floor.
- Vote:
  - **Y(Arutt, Murcia, Linsky, Bergen, Daraldik, Boole) N (x) A (x)**
  - **CA Passes**

## CA 8 -

- Opening Statement:
  - Boole: This amendment seeks to change a couple things. It takes away the president's ability to appoint their own cabinet members. I like that in florida that cabinet positions are done by election. The bill is written vague because its a CA.
- Technical non-debatable:
  - Linsky: So the primary goal of this amendment is to change to allow the executive elections to be defined in statutes.
  - Boole: No, the main purpose would be to change cabinet positions to elected positions
  - Arutt: have you consulted with any members of the executive branch about this amendment?
  - Boole: I have not.
  - **Linsky: Move to enter roundtable**
  - **Murica: Seconded**
- Round table:
  - Linsky: I like the gist of this, I have a hard time seeing it get passed as it is right now. The primary idea behind this bill would be to create a difference between appointment powers and it give more voice to the student body. The amendment does not have a list of positions for the cabinet. Further explains more potential complications with bill.
  - Daraldik: I agree with senator linsky in that this bill does not have adacuit language describing its implementation. Being realistic about the cabinet the exec should have that authority to pick who they want to advise the president. If there was a definition of positions then maybe. Further explains how president Biden has implemented his own cabinet, and there has been pushback.
  - **Boole point of clarification:** this can be amended
  - Linsky: What are all of the positions that the cabinet has
  - Boole: It's hard to say some positions are defined in statutes some are not.
  - Little: each administration picks what positions they will have
  - Linsky: I would like to see the attorney general be an elected positon. Further discussion on moving forward and how we can address the issues of the amendment
  - Boole: I agree and think that this should be tabled just voted no. then we can make three CA to address each part.
  - **Daraldik: Move to table**
  - **Murcia: Seconded**
  - **Bill tabled**

## **Unfinished Business:**

**None**

## **Committee Legislative Round Table:**

Marcus: This name change has been worked on for a long time by JRA, I know senator Ramos is Indigenous

Bergen: Lots of my family are seminole and they have a different view on this

Linsky: The way that it is used in this connotation it is referring to the seminole tribe.

Daraldik: If it is time sensitive then we can talk about that. But I feel like we need to have a full discussion about this. If we did move today so It

Boole: I would like the senator to come back with more information

Rowan: In looking over the statue on fixing names in statues. The last major thing I can remember someone changing their name was done through a full bill. In my opinion it might be a safer option to send it through the legislative process. If you want to do this then it would be safer to present it as a bill.

Marcus: Former Senator Alvarez

Boole: I don't believe it is within the judiciary's power to enforce their will on statues. If there was a misspelling then sure.

Little: Golden torch has already changed their name so it would be a

Marcus: FSU homecoming has been switched and many others.

**Daraldik: Move to return to the orders of the day**

**Bergen: Seconded**

## **Final Announcements:**

- Chair:
- Vice Chair:
- Members:
- Guests: Rowan - Thank you for hearing me out. I know going through the actual legislative process is hard. A supreme court more willing to shut down things on technicalities. I am on the floor tonight for confirmation for parliamentarian please vote for me.

**Date and Time of Next Meeting: 8 pm Monday 15, 2021**

**Adjourned: 6:18**

# Gabrielle Little

---

Signature of Chair