



**73rd Student Senate
Judiciary Committee
Date: February 2nd, 2021**

Meeting Recording:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1B5RZ2Xvo_rvMgD1RzWV7Rwbtm0Xh0tb_/view?usp=sharing

Call to Order: 6:01pm

Members Present: Chair Little, Vice Chair Boole, Senator(s) Mougey, Lewis, Murcia, Arutt, Linsky

Members Tardy: Senator(s) Daraldik

Members Excused Absent: Senator(s)

Members Absent: Senator(s)

Guests: Senator(s) England

Announcements:

- Chair - What an eventful week. Discusses impeachment letter. Hearing will take place next week at this time. He was given the proper amount of notice for the hearing per 405.4(B). Mock trial is helping on Thursday to study for the LSAT if you want.
- Vice Chair - None.
- Members - None.
- Guests - None.

Committee Business:

- **Bill 6** - Sponsored by Senator England - Outline the appointment process for Senate officers in Chapter 400.2 and establish the Office of the Senate President.
- **Bill 7** - Sponsored by Senator England - To eliminate the conflict between statute 304.3 and 400.2. This deals with the appointment process of candidates by the Student Body President and the appointment of Senate officers by the Senate President.
- **Resolution 12** - Sponsored by Senator Little, Co-sponsored by Senators Soto, Wang, Murcia, Boole - Reporting the findings and recommendations of the Senate Investigative Board on the Executive Branch.

Old Business:

- Bill 6
 - Opening
 - Senator England: I hope to take a big chunk out of this bill. The supreme court made a ruling where the constitution was misquoted so section H will have to be struck. Organizes the current senate staff and senate committees differently. The staff of the president will be under the office of the senate president and the committees have their own place in statues

- Technical, non-debatable questions
 - Linsky: is this AO-1 from the supreme court?
 - England: Yes
 - Linsky: what section of the constitution was misquoted?
 - England: I will look for it now, and get back to you.
- Roundtable
 - **Boole: Move to enter roundtable**
 - **Murcia: Seconded**
 - Gerdts: I am not surprised that the supreme court has misquoted the constitution. I like this bill but no I'm not surprised by the supreme court.
 - Linsky: I think I might have found where the constitution was quoted.
 - England: **point of clarification** Article V, Section 7B one word was misquoted and it changes it by not allowing section H in the bill. So we will be striking that.
 - Linsky: I want to defend law students but they have really failed lately. There is a lot of tension between the court and the current administration.
 - **Boole: I move to amend by striking Subsection H**
 - **Arutt: seconded**
 - Little: Ok does the sponsor find it friendly?
 - England: Yes
 - Little: Ok, it is adopted.
 - **Linsky: I move to call the question**
 - **Lewis: Seconded**
 - Little: Ok, senator England
- Closing
 - England: Thank you
- Vote
 - Y(**Mougey, Lewis, Gerdts, Arutt, Linsky**) N(**Daraladik**) A(**Boole**)
- Bill 7
- England: Withdrawn

Little yields the chairship to Senator Gerdts

New Business:

- Resolution 12
 - Opening
 - Little: This is a long resolution but very important. This resolution is based upon the findings of the investigative board in relation to the application process for sga positions. I won't go into the nitty gritty but I am happy to answer any questions.
 - Technical, non-debatable questions
 - Linsky: Was this all linked to the most recent supreme court decision.
 - Little: Yes, it did come out in the investigative board
 - Linsky: who all was on the Investigative board?
 - Little: all co sponsors, elizabeth shubo, and former senator Griffin
 - Pro/Con
 - **Lewis: motion to enter Pro/con**
 - **Linsky: Seconded**
 - Linsky: I was unanimously voted, How unanimous was it? Was this really the will of the senate?

- Little: The first vote to establish the investigative board was unanimous by the judiciary committee; the second vote was done in rules and calendar I was not present but all I know is that it was unanimous.
 - Pro:
 - Linsky: I don't see why not to pass this. I think the people should know
 - Con:
 - None.
 - **Linsky: Motion to call the question**
 - **Lewis: Seconded**
 - Closing
 - Little: Thank you for your questions. We've worked for months on this and it means a lot to many senators and students. It will help prevent this
 - Boole: I came in at the tail end of the investigative board but I got to know Chair little and Former chair Griffin and I know that they are good stand up people
 - Vote
 - Y(Little, Linsky, Lewis, Arutt, Boole) N(Mougey) A()

Unfinished Business: None

Gerdts: Motion to enter legislative round table

Linsky: seconded

Committee Legislative Round Table:

Little: Talks about impeachment process and current impeachment process

Mougey: Question about how defense of the accused is decided

Little: explains in depth about how it all works

Mougey: If people show up with evidence how does that all work

Little: It would work similar to a normal senate meeting

Linsky: I would like to see the investigative board is available to levin and us

Little: I believe it is still on the SGA website If you have any trouble let me know I'll post the link in the groupme six charges and the hearing will be one charge at a time.

Mougey: We are voting to bring these charges as a new investigation?

Linsky: No, this a statutory procedure

Little: Explains further

Boole: Just so you guys know for timeline it will be tuesday and then Wednesday for the proceedings

Gerdts: There is no line

Linsky: That sucks put that in the minutes

Gerdts: you have to remember that we are playing pretend politics

Linsky: worried about judiciary turning into a circus

Little: Goes on to describe how we will prevent

Linsky: My recommendation is that we treat everyone with respect so that it doesn't turn into a circus.

Little: I have no intention of having this turn into a circus

Daraldik: Are we operating as a jury?

Little: Yes

Daraldik: He has the right to not answer?

Little: Yes

Little: We will all be under a lot of scrutiny so be professional

Gerdts: will there be a committee debate?

Little: Yes

Linsky: will we be going into break out rooms?

Little: No we must keep the meeting public

Linsky: Do we have anyone coming to be a witness

Boole: we'll have to find out

Linsky: It is a lot of hearsay. Voices concerns about actually wanting to hear testimony

Gerdts: The testimonies were heard and our job is only to see if these charges have merit wanting to have more people. Further explains overall opinion on the board and says that most senators

Linsky: Expresses concerns say that an email needs to be sent to anyone's name that is brought up

Mougey: I would agree that sounds fair

Linsky: Sending a email is the bare minimum

Gerdts: I think wanting to bring out every witness is a little out of reach and attempts to drag it out then the process will lead to less productivity by the government and I worry that this will grind sga to a halt.

Linsky: I'll send an email

Gerdts: Says goodbye

Mougey: moves to exit roundtable

Linsky: Seconded

Final Announcements:

- Chair - thanks for coming out hopefully you all feel informed about what is happening next week plugs LSAT meeting
- Vice Chair - Have an open mind, read the statues, and go through the letter and investigative board minutes because there is a lot
- Members
 - Mougey: happy to have the first meeting with you all
- Guests

Date and Time of Next Meeting: Feb 9th 6:00pm

Adjourned: 7:18 pm

Gabrielle Little

Signature of Chair