



71st Student Senate

Rules and Calendar Committee

10/9/19 in Thagard 401

Call to Order: 6:02 pm

Members Present: Denton, Ready, Harmon, Harris, Murcia, Villacorta, Warren

Members Tardy:

Members Absent: Jacobsen

Guests: Ahmad Daraldik

Announcements: local fees meeting tomorrow- please go, RTAC meeting in innovation hub next Monday at 6:00 pm.

Committee Business: Confirmation interviews, hearing bills

Old Business:

New Business:

- **Interview One- Sofia Slimak- Undergraduate Studies Seat 14**
 - **Opening Statement- all other orgs have fall elections, didn't want stress, looks forward to working with others.**
 - **Technical, Non-Debatable Questions-**
 - **Harmon- other orgs?**
 - **CoB SLC, Alpha Gam, Amplify movement**
 - **Warren- financially certified?**
 - **Yes**
 - **Questions Related to Position-**
 - **Warren- long term goals?**
 - **Failure has been normalized on campus, normalize daily failures and rejection**

Warren- how would you go about that?

- **Working on a presentation to take to panhellenic and RSOs**
- **Questions of Character-**
 - **Harris- you describe leadership style as transactional?**

- Big picture person, empowerment is more effective
 - Villacorta- why character is essential to the role?
 - How you stand in your daily life, strong character can carry you through.
- Other Questions- none
- Closing- good weather, have a good evening
- Deliberations
 - Roundtable
- Call to Question
 - Ready moves
 - Harmon seconds
- Voting Results
 - Yes- (4) Harmon, Harris, Ready, Warren
 - No- (0)
 - Abs.- (2) Murcia, Villacorta

Bill 70

Sponsor- Alvarez

Opening Statement- This is something that is supposed to be done but has not, if this passes we can see a trend on approval of the SGA. One way to get consistent data.

Technical, non-debatable-

Harmon- what was amended in judiciary?

Original format referred to them as referenda, this changes to opinion polls, not binding like referenda

Ready- what was struck?

Questions that had not been on the ballot

Harris- Part b is missing a question mark, can it be added?

Yes.

Motion to enter roundtable

Seconded

- Ready- looks forward to seeing responses to these questions
- Murcia- likes bill, would rather have OGA written out
- Warren- can see where Murcia is coming from
- Murcia moves to amend
 - Warren seconds
 - Sponsor finds friendly
- Closing- good way to track the pulse of the students

- **Call to Question**
 - Ready moves
 - Harris seconds
- **Voting Results**
 - Yes- (6) Harmon, Harris, Murcia, Ready, Villacorta, Warren
 - No- (0)
 - Abs.- (0)
- **Passes as amended**

Resolution 67

Sponsor- Alvarez

Opening statement- point of reference for visitors and new members of committees, good for us internally and for outsiders, legislate in the sunshine

- **Technical non debatable-**
 - Harmon- where would these rules be put?
 - On the SGA website
- **Roundtable**
 - Ready- good resolution, makes a needed change
 - Villacorta-
 - Warren- was there any specific reason this was written?
 - No, but has seen how transitional periods can be not smooth
 - Harmon moves to amend
 - Murcia seconds
 - Sponsor finds friendly
 - Call to Question
 - Ready moves
 - Warren seconds
- **Voting Results**
 - Yes- (6) Harmon, Harris, Murcia, Ready, Villacorta, Warren
 - No- (0)
 - Abs.- (0)

Passes as amended

Resolution 68

Sponsor- Alvarez

- **Opening statement- at the end of the 70th, there were problems meeting quorum, candidates that are worthy of individual attention,**

allows senators to be certain that they should go through confirmation on the floor

- **Technical, non-debatable-**
 - **Ready- is that not already the case?**
 - **No, only positions that need 2/3 vote are those vacated offices and supreme court justices**
 - **Warren- only for OPS wage candidates?**
 - **Yes**
 - **Roundtable**
 - **Ready- When you say it gets rid of the objection part, you're assuming no one will object to going into committee?**
 - **Yes**
 - **Villacorta – good resolution**
 - **Harris- if a student who comes to us to be confirmed and will be paid OPS wages, they should be subject to more scrutiny**

 - **Villacorta moves**
 - **Warren seconds**

 - **Closing- appreciates support, would like that on the floor**

 - **Voting Results**
 - **Yes- (6) Harmon, Harris, Murcia, Ready, Villacorta, Warren**
 - **No- (0)**
 - **Abs.- (0)**

Resolution 73

Sponsor- Alvarez

- **Opening Statement- good amount of consideration taken in writing this resolution, as of now, only means of removing senate leadership is through impeachment, not appropriate in this case, at least 3/4 of senate must be present, added an exception clause at the end to prevent removal of both at once, included language to prevent personal attacks, prevention of use for partisan reasons.**

- **Technical, non-debatable-**
 - **Harris- the only mechanism in place currently is impeachment?**
 - **yes**

- **Roundtable**
 - **Ready- had expressed reservations, only thing I don't like is secret ballot, will move to amend that**

- Alvarez- included this language to reflect processes of electing the president and pro-tempore
 - Murcia- disagrees with amendment, does not want senators to fear repercussion
 - Harmon- in the event of removal , will they be eligible to run for the position again?
 - Yes, but it would be difficult to be elected
 - Ready- Transparency is key, when we vote it should be public
 - Ready moves to amend for all instances is 1.8 and 1.9 striking secret ballot and adding by roll call vote
 - Warren seconds
 - Sponsor does not find friendly
 - Ready opening- should be roll call, in statutes we do things of importance by voice vote
 - Pro 1
 - Warren- in SLAA roll call vote comes, but feels everyone should see how you voted
 - Harris- likes amendment, roll call is done in finance, votes public anyway
 - Harmon- point of information- would these roll calls be written in the same way on the website?
 - Yes
 - Con 1
 - Murcia- will be voting con
 - Villacorta- will be voting con as well
 - Pro 2
 - Murcia moves to call the question
 - Warren seconds
 - Closing- understands points, believes every vote has consequences, power puts responsibility on the shoulders of those who hold it, as for retaliatory actions, has never heard of it happening, would like to prepare senators for the real world
- Voting Results on Amendment
 - Yes- (2) Ready, Warren
 - No- (3) Harris, Murcia, Villacorta
 - Abs.- (1) Harmon
- Harmon- would debate be pro/con
 - Same as legislation, no libel or slander
- Ready makes motion to amend
 - Withdrawn
- Murcia motions to table
 - Harmon seconds

- **Unfinished Business-**
- **Final Announcements-**
- **Date and Time of Next Meeting-**
- **Adjourned-** 7:09 pm