



**72nd Student Senate
Judiciary Committee
Date: September 22nd, 2020**

Call to Order: 5:05 p.m.

Members Present: Chair Leckie, Vice Chair Chabot, Senator(s) Little, Murcia, Wang

Members Tardy: Senator(s)

Members Excused Absent: Senator(s)

Members Absent: Senator(s) Ascanio, Recht, Ross, Soto

Guests: Joseph Wolski, Jack Rowan, AG Ready, Parliamentarian Alvarez, Senators Sojos, Adamyk, Pres. Daraldik, Pro Temp Harmon

Announcements:

- Chair - None
- Vice Chair - IB on Friday at 4
- Members -
 - Murcia- RIJ will meet either Thursday or Friday.
- Guests -
 - AG Ready: I'm here to answer questions on Bill 82. I've been in contact with multiple departments. I am more than happy to answer anything that comes up
 - Alvarez: we passed res 52 on September 9th. Gives Judiciary the power to correct minor errors in statutes regarding the naming of IA to Oversight
 - Daraldik: Great to be here. If you are on the list of suspended senators for financial certification please take care of that. That goes into effect tomorrow.

Committee Business:

- **Supreme Court Confirmation Hearing**
 - Joey Wolski
- **Bill 39** - Sponsored by Senators Sojos and Leckie - To eliminate 807.6K from statues as the rule acts as a "budgetary measure" to PAC and RTAC. Therefore, 807.6K violates 808.1B1a.
- **Bill 82** - Sponsored by Senator England - To Restructure Appointed Executive Positions

Old Business:

- **Supreme Court Confirmation Hearing**
 - Joey Wolski

New Business:

- **Supreme Court Confirmation Hearing**
 - Joey Wolski
 - Opening Statement

- Wolski: I am a 2L. I did my undergrad here and graduated in 2019. I love FSU and chose to stay here. I look forward to giving back to the community and look forward to your questions
- Technical, Non-Debatable
 - X:
- Senator Wang moves to enter Round Table Discussion
- Senator Murcia seconds
- Round Table
 - Wang: describe a time you had to hold someone else accountable
 - Wolski: I was in Boy Scouts. I was second in command. The first in command was not doing their job so I had to speak to them and the advisor
 - Wang: How can you be a fair judge of organizations you do not personally support
 - Wolski: My beliefs have no place in the courtroom. We are bound by the facts and the laws and precedents. The best I can do is stick to those and be fair and impartial to all parties
 - Vice Chair Chabot: Do you have any conflicts of interest?
 - Wolski: non I am aware of. Should something arise I would recuse myself
 - Wang: Describe the case you argued
 - Wolski: culver v. CPE, I represented Culver. Every person who enters the courtroom has the right to have someone be there on their behalf. I learned a lot from that case, procedures and such that I would find important in this position
 - Leckie: 203.3. How would you define under the auspicious of Student Government
 - Wolski: I would rather not comment as it may be an issue that comes before the court.
- Senator Murcia moves to call the question
- Senator Little seconds
- Deliberations
 - Wang: I like that he has experience in the SGA Supreme Court
 - Chabot: Unsure. Application doesn't go into detail
 - Little: Mostly impartial answers and not letting personal beliefs get in the way. Resonated well for me. He seems to know how to remain impartial in situations.
 - Murcia: I haven't come to a conclusion. I'm unsure
 - Leckie: would you all like to ask more questions?
 - Little: do you all have any more?
 - Murcia: I was there for the case. So I'm trying to separate that and this candidate
 - Wang: I am worried too. But the candidate did say that his opinions in that case didn't play into how he represented it. He was the only law student available at the time.
 - Chabot: Unsure if the elaboration and fullness of answers is there.
 - Wang POPP- let me look through the minutes at his answers again
- Murcia moves to forward the candidate
- Wang seconds
- Closing
 - Wolski: I would like to thank you for your consideration. I have been here for 5 years now and thrilled at the opportunity of serving the student body.

I will do my best to rule based on the facts and laws of the case and rule in a just manner

- Vote
 - Chabot- No
 - Little- Yes
 - Murcia- No
 - Wang- No
- Candidate Nomination RESULT
 - **Candidate FAILS**
- **Bill 39** - Sponsored by Senator Sojos, cosponsor Leckie
 - Opening Statement
 - Sojos: The purpose of this bill is to eliminate the statute that limits PAC and RTAC to spending 50% of budget in fall. That number wasn't researched, and the best way to control RTAC and PAC is to elect chairs that are aware of budgets.
 - Leckie: When this was originally introduced, there wasn't much evidence as to why. There was no statistical justification for it. This bill would undo what happened in the 71st. It was a pretty arbitrary rule, so we're removing it from statutes
 - Technical, Non-Debatable
 - X:
 - Senator Wang moves to enter Round Table Discussion
 - Senator Murcia seconds
 - Round Table
 - Wang: I am in strong support of this, because I feel like capping PAC and RTAC at 50% is a little unfair. They should have control over how to fund our organizations.
 - Chabot: This would go into effect next fiscal year?
 - Sojos: Yes
 - Senator Murcia moves to pass by unanimous consent
 - Senator Wang seconds
 - **Bill PASSES**
- **Bill 82** - Sponsored by Senator England - To Restructure Appointed Executive Positions
 - Sponsor not present

Unfinished Business: None

Committee Legislative Round Table: None

Final Announcements:

Harmon: Check your emails

Date and Time of Next Meeting: None

Adjourned: 5:39 p.m.

Griffin Leckie

Signature of Chair