Without accompaniment of a candidate or likeness, the word “party,” or an actual request to vote, “Vitalize Your Voice” is not alone a call to action to support or vote. Here, it is a request for students to voice their concerns. Vote unanimous 0-7.

Without accompaniment of a candidate or likeness, the word “party,” ballot item, or an actual request to vote, “Vitalize Your Voice” is not alone a call to action to support or vote. Vote unanimous 0-7.

#38:
Feb 11 715.7D
- Vitality
  - Chalk Board violation
Ignite
- Same argument as before, but now they are a political party
Vitality
- This is not a platform, this isn't a call to action
Ignite Close
- There is clear intent here by a political party to solicit votes
- There is a differentiating feature: The vitality party is composed of the student body, the students create the platform
  - As much as I can see the assumption of doing a platform, I think it’s ok to have a platform that is composed of student body views
Vitality Close
- Vitalize your voice is just about students telling us about what they want to see on campus
- this is not a platform
Vote 2-5-Not a violation

#39 jan 15-feb 17
There is confusion over what is exactly being alleged.
Withdrawn and being resubmitted

#40
Chalking by the Vitality Party
- This isn't tied to any specific General Body Meeting, and it is a political party on the ground in chalk during active campaign week.
- Traditionally it has never been permitted
Vitality Party
- it is not true that you have never been allowed to chalk
- The only time it has ever been requested was when the chalk message included ‘vote’
- This is not campaigning
Ignite Closing:
- The totality of the circumstances-doing the chalking at 12:04 on the first day of active campaigning.
- it wasn’t for the purpose of advertising, it was an entire walkway painted to support a political party
- burden of proof shifts to them to prove that they are not campaigning
Vitality rebut
VOTE:B
- Is this a violation?
  o 1-5 No 1 abstention SoE involvement

#41 Vitality v Ignite 715.7F Donuts
  - Ignite
    o Donuts distributed while Vitality Party tabling
    o This should be construed as an exchange for vote
  - Vitality
    o This is not a direct exchange for votes

VOTE
0-7-unanimous not violation

#42 714 campaign material 2/18
Ignite party accepts violation – schedule 1 $25

#44 715.6(a)(9) Text message was sent to an individual
Vitality
  - Texts, as defined by statute, are a campaign material
Ignite
  - Policy argument: This is extremely restrictive of personal communication
Vitality
  - Internal data system is specifically referring to email
Ignite-closing
  - Argue the intent of the statute to restrict emails

Vote
- Violation?
  o 0-7 unanimous not a violation

MEETING CLOSED 10:40